arXiv:1405.5657v1 [math.AP] 22 May 2014

Scale invariant elliptic operators with singular coefficients

G. Metafune * N. Okazawa † M. Sobajima ‡ C. Spina §

Abstract

We show that a realization of the operator $L = |x|^\alpha \Delta + c|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla - b|x|^{\alpha-2}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if $D_c = b + (N-2+c)^2/4 > 0$ and $s_1 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\} < N/p < s_2 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\}$, where s_i are the roots of the equation $b + s(N-2+c-s) = 0$, or $D_c = 0$ and $s_0 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\} \le N/p \le s_0 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\}$, where s_0 is the unique root of the above equation. The domain of the generator is also characterized.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47D07, 35B50, 35J25, 35J70.
Keywords: elliptic operators, unbounded coefficients, generation results, analytic semigroups.

1 Introduction

In this paper we make a systematic investigation of the operator

$$L = |x|^\alpha \Delta + c|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla - b|x|^{\alpha-2} \tag{1}$$

in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \ge 1$, $1 < p < \infty$. Here α, b, c are unrestricted real numbers. Operators of the form $L(s) = (s+|x|^\alpha)\Delta + c|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla$, $s = 0, 1$, or operators containing a more general diffusion matrix in the second order part have been already studied in literature. See for example [7], [16], [15], [18], [19], [25], where generation results, domain characterization and spectral properties have been proved and [17], [27], where kernel estimates have been deduced via weighted Nash inequalities. Operators of the form (1) with $\alpha = 0$ have been studied in L^p-spaces with weight $|x|^{-\beta}$ for real β (see [1], [22]).

In order to treat the singularity at zero we introduce $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and define $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ as the space of infinitely continuously differentiable functions with compact support in Ω. We define L_{min} as the closure in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of $(L, C_c^\infty(\Omega))$ and $L_{max} = (L, D_{max}(L))$ where

$$D_{max}(L) = \{u \in W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : \ Lu \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}. \tag{2}$$

The domain of L_{min} will be denoted by $D_{min}(L)$. Note that if $u \in D_{max}(L)$ and $f = Lu$ the equation $Lu = f$ is satisfied in the sense of distributions in Ω rather than in $\mathbb{R}^N$. We study when

*Dipartimento di Matematica "Ennio De Giorgi", Università del Salento, C.P.193, 73100, Lecce, Italy. e-mail: giorgio.metafune@unisalento.it

†Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, Japan. email: okazawa@ma.kagu.tus.ac.jp

‡Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, Japan and Dipartimento di Matematica "Ennio De Giorgi", Università del Salento, C.P.193, 73100, Lecce, Italy. email: msobajima1984@gmail.com

§Dipartimento di Matematica "Ennio De Giorgi", Università del Salento, C.P.193, 73100, Lecce, Italy. e-mail: chiara.spina@unisalento.it

suitable realizations of L between L_{min} and L_{max} generate a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The introduction of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ instead of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is unavoidable to treat the singularity at 0 but sometimes leads to unnatural difficulties. For example, if $\alpha = b = c = 0$ and $N \geq 3$, then the Laplacian with domain $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ coincides with Δ_{min} if and only if $p \leq N/2$ and with Δ_{max} if and only if $p \geq N/(N-2)$. Similar problems happen when $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset D_{max}(L)$ (depending on α, b, c, p) and this explains why we need also intermediate operators between L_{min} and L_{max}. When $\alpha = c = 0$, L becomes the Schrödinger operator with inverse square potential which is widely studied in the literature. A famous result in [2] shows that the parabolic equation $u_t = Lu$ presents instantaneous blow-up for positive solutions when $D_0 := b + (N-2)^2/4 < 0$, where $4D_0$ is the discriminant of the quadratic equation

$$f_0(s) := -s^2 + (N-2)s + b = 0.$$

In the general case we show that the elliptic equation $\lambda u - Lu = f$, with $\lambda, f \geq 0$, has no positive solution if $\alpha \neq 2$ and $D_c := b + (N-2+c)^2/4 < 0$. The case $\alpha = 2$ is special in the whole paper and the above restriction is not necessary. We obtain positive results under the assumption $D_c \geq 0$.
In order to formulate our main results we introduce the quadratic function

$$f(s) = b + s(N-2+c-s) \ = -s^2 + (N-2+c)s + b \tag{3}$$

whose discriminant is $4D_c$. Its roots are s_1, s_2 ($s_1 < s_2$) given by

$$s_1 = \frac{N-2+c}{2} - \sqrt{b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2}, \quad s_2 = \frac{N-2+c}{2} + \sqrt{b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2}. \tag{4}$$

Note that f has the maximum at $s_0 = (N-2+c)/2$ with $f(s_0) = D_c$.
Our main result in the case $D_c > 0$ is the following which summarizes Theorems 4.11, 5.4.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha \neq 2$, $D_c = b + (N-2+c)^2/4 > 0$. Then a suitable realization of $L_{min} \subset L_{int} \subset L_{max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if*

$$s_1 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\} < N/p < s_2 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\}.$$

In this case the generated semigroup is bounded analytic and positive. The domain of L_{int} is given by equation (42).

In general the semigroup is not contractive. The case $\alpha = 2$ is special and much simpler: no restriction on N/p is needed, see Proposition 2.3.
We observe that L generates a semigroup in some $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if the open intervals $(s_1 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\}, s_2 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\})$ and $(0, N)$ intersect. This is always the case when $b > 0$ since s_1 and s_2 have opposite signs but easy examples show that the contrary can happen if $b \leq 0$, see the last section of this paper. In such cases no realization of L between L_{min} and L_{max} is a generator but it can happen that L endowed with a suitable domain is a generator. We refer the reader to [26] where it is shown that for every $b \in \mathbb{R}$ a suitable realization of $\Delta - b|x|^{-2}$ is self-adjoint and non-positive in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In the critical case $D_c = 0$ we prove the following result in Section 6.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha \neq 2$, $D_c = b + (N-2+c)^2/4 = 0$ and $s_0 = \frac{N-2+c}{2}$. Then a suitable realization of $L_{min} \subset L_{int} \subset L_{max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if*

$$s_0 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\} \leq N/p \leq s_0 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\}.$$

In this case the generated semigroup is bounded analytic and positive. The domain of L_{int} is given by equations (48), (68).

Note that the endpoints are included in Theorem 1.2 but excluded in Theorem 1.1. We also point out that the validity of the equalities $L_{int} = L_{min}$ and $L_{int} = L_{max}$ is also characterized through the paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove and recall some preliminary results. In Section 3 we partially generalize the results in [2] by showing that if $b + (N-2+c)^2/4 < 0$ the equation $u - Lu = f$ has no positive distributional solutions for certain positive f with compact support. In Section 4 we show that L_{min} generates an analytic semigroup when $s_1 + 2 - \alpha < N/p < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ and characterize its domain, using Rellich inequalities from [12]. The proof is done first for very large $b > 0$ showing sectoriality and then extended to the precise range above using a perturbation argument in [24], as stated in the Appendix. Generation results for L_{max} are deduced by duality. The sharpness of the above intervals is then shown using the asymptotics of special radial solutions: in particular the "only if" part of Theorem 1.1 is proved in Theorem 4.11. The operator L_{int} is introduced in Section 5. Using the results of Section 4 for L_{min} we give a proof of the "if" part of Theorem 1.1, see Theorem 5.4 for a more precise formulation. The critical case $D_c = 0$ is studied in Section 6, using the methods of Section 5 but adding a logarithmic term in the weighted estimates. In contrast with Section 5, we do not prove directly the resolvent estimates in $\mathbb{R}^N$ but first show a weaker form in the unit ball and then improve them in the whole space by scaling. In Section 7 we present some examples. It is worth mentioning that our main results, specialized to the case of Schrödinger operators with inverse square potentials, yields more precise results than those already known. In particular we show that the semigroup exists in the same range of p as in [11] when $D_c > 0$ but we are able to characterize the domain of the generator in addition to the domain of the form. The precise range of existence of the semigroup is also given in the critical case and seems to be new.

Our result are valid when $N = 1$ with $[0, \infty[$ instead of $\mathbb{R}$. In the statements, however, we keep the notation $\mathbb{R}^N$ even when $N = 1$. Accordingly $\Omega =]0, \infty[$ and all balls B_r should be replaced by the intervals $]0, r[$. With these (formal) changes all proofs hold in the one-dimensional case with, at most, some simplifications.

Notation. We use Ω for $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and for $]0, \infty[$ when $N = 1$. $C_c^\infty(V)$ denotes the space of infinitely continuously differentiable functions with compact support in V. We adopt standard notation for L^p and Sobolev spaces. The unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is denoted by S^{N-1} and B_r stands for the ball with center at 0 and radius r.

2 Preliminary results

Here we collect some known or simple fact necessary to our analysis. Observe that if $I_\lambda u(x) = u(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda > 0$, then $(I_\lambda)^{-1} L I_\lambda = \lambda^{2-\alpha} L$. Note that L is scale invariant when $\alpha = 2$. Other symmetry properties follow from the use of the Kelvin transform. Let $Tu(x) = |x|^{2-N} u(x|x|^{-2})$. A straightforward but tedious computation shows that

$$T^{-1} L T = |x|^{4-\alpha} \Delta - c|x|^{3-\alpha} \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla + (c(2-N) - b)\, |x|^{2-\alpha}. \tag{5}$$

In particular the power α is changed into $4 - \alpha$. Many proofs will be subdivided according to $\alpha < 2$ and $\alpha > 2$. If $\alpha < 2$ the degeneracy at infinity is easy to treat but that at the origin is the real

source of the difficulties. Conversely when $\alpha > 2$, using the Kelvin transformation and noticing that it maps the unit ball into its complement, one can study only case, e.g., $\alpha < 2$ and reduce the other to it. Observe however that the Kelvin transform is an isomorphism in L^p if and only if $p = 2N/(N-2)$. Let us show the closedness of L_{min} and L_{max}.

Proposition 2.1 *The operator L_{max} is closed and $(L, C_c^\infty(\Omega))$ is closable.*

PROOF. The closedness of L_{max} is an immediate consequence of local elliptic regularity, since L has regular coefficients outside the origin. Since $C_c^\infty(\Omega) \subset D_{max}(L)$, the closability of $(L, C_c^\infty(\Omega))$ follows from the closedness of L_{max}. □

Next we introduce the formal adjoint

$$\tilde{L} = |x|^\alpha \Delta + \tilde{c}|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla - \tilde{b}|x|^{\alpha-2} \tag{6}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{c} = 2\alpha - c \\ \tilde{b} = b + (c-\alpha)(\alpha - 2 + N) \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

acting on $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Observe that the function

$$\tilde{f}(s) = \tilde{b} + s(N - 2 + \tilde{c} - s) = f(N + \alpha - 2 - x) \tag{8}$$

defined as in (3) and relative to $\tilde{L}$, has roots $\tilde{s}_i = s_i + \alpha - c$, $i = 1, 2$, where s_1, s_2 are defined in (4) and that its discriminant $\tilde{b} + (N-2+\tilde{c})^2/4$ coincides with that of f (that is with $b + (N-2+c)^2/4$). Then we have

Proposition 2.2

$$(\tilde{L}_{max}) = (L_{min})^* \quad \textit{and} \quad (\tilde{L}_{min}) = (L_{max})^*$$

PROOF. The first identity is immediate consequence of the definitions and of interior elliptic regularity. Taking the adjoiont in the equality $(\tilde{L}_{max}) = (L_{min})^*$ one obtains $(\tilde{L}_{max})^* = (L_{min})$, by the closedness of L_{min}, which is the second one (with the roles of L and $\tilde{L}$ interchanged). □

As pointed out in the Introduction, the case $\alpha = 2$ is quite special. Let us state the result in the next proposition (see [12, Section 6] for the proof).

Proposition 2.3 *Consider the operator L defined in (1) with $\alpha = 2$ and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $L_{max} = L_{min}$ generates an analytic semigroup of positive operators $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ in L^p satisfying $\|T(t)\|_p \leq e^{(b-\omega_p)t}$, $\omega_p = f(N/p) - b = \frac{N}{p}\left(\frac{N}{p'} - 2 + c\right)$. Finally*

$$D_{max}(L) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega),\ |x|\nabla u, |x|^2 D^2 u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

When $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce the domain

$$D_{p,\alpha} = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega),\ |x|^\alpha D^2 u,\ |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u,\ |x|^{\alpha-2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\} \tag{9}$$

endowed with its canonical norm and note that it to that in the above proposition when $\alpha = 2$. Note that extra integrability condition for u is relevant near 0 when $\alpha < 2$ and near infinity when $\alpha > 2$.

Lemma 2.4 *The space $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $D_{p,\alpha}$. The following interpolation property holds in $D_{p,\alpha}$: there exist C, ε_0 depending on N, p, α such that for every $u \in D_{p,\alpha}$ and $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$*

$$\||x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p \le \varepsilon \|Lu\|_p + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let us first observe that a function $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ with compact support in Ω can be approximated by a sequence of C^∞ functions with compact support in Ω in the $D_{p,\alpha}$ norm, by using standard mollifiers. Let u in $D_{p,\alpha}$ and η_n be smooth functions such that $\eta_n = 1$ in $B_n \setminus B_{1/n}$, $\eta_n = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus (B_{2n} \cup B_{1/2n})$, $0 \le \eta_n \le 1$ and $|\nabla \eta_n(x)| \le C|x|^{-1}$, $|D^2\eta_n(x)| \le C|x|^{-2}$. If $u \in D_{p,\alpha}$, then $u_n = \eta_n u$ are compactly supported functions in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|x|^{\alpha-2}u_n \to |x|^{\alpha-2}u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by dominated convergence. Concerning the convergence of the derivatives we have

$$|x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u_n = |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla\eta_n(x)u + |x|^{\alpha-1}\eta_n(x)\nabla u.$$

As before $|x|^{\alpha-1}\eta_n(x)\nabla u \to |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For the left term, since $\nabla\eta_n(x)$ can be different from zero only for $1/2n \le |x| \le 1/n$ or $n \le |x| \le 2n$ we have

$$|x|^{\alpha-1}|\nabla\eta_n(x)||u| \le C|x|^{\alpha-2}|u|(\chi_{\{(2n)^{-1}\le|x|\le n^{-1}\}} + \chi_{\{n\le|x|\le 2n\}}),$$

and the right hand side tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$. A similar argument shows the convergence of the second order derivatives in the weighted L^p norm and the proof of the density is complete. Concerning (10) we observe that the weaker inequality

$$\||x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p \le \varepsilon\||x|^\alpha D^2 u\|_p + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p \tag{11}$$

holds in $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ by [12, Lemma 4.4]. By applying the classical Calderón-Zygmund $\|D^2 v\|_p \le C\|\Delta v\|_p$ to $v = |x|^\alpha u$ and using (11) to interpolate the gradient terms we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\||x|^\alpha D^2 u\|_p \le C\left(\|D^2(|x|^\alpha u)\|_p + \||x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p + \||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p\right)\\
&\le C\left(\|\Delta(|x|^\alpha u)\|_p + \||x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p + \||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p\right) \le C\left(\||x|^\alpha\Delta u\|_p + \||x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p + \||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p\right)\\
&\le C\left(\||x|^\alpha Lu\|_p + \||x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u\|_p + \||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p\right) \le C\left(\||x|^\alpha Lu\|_p + \varepsilon\||x|^\alpha D^2 u\|_p + C_\varepsilon\||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking ε small, (10) follows. By the density of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $D_{p,\alpha}$ the proof is complete. □

The following lemma is useful to study the equality $L_{min} = L_{max}$.

Lemma 2.5 *For every $\alpha \ne 2$,*

$$D_{max}(L) \cap D(|x|^{\alpha-2}) = D_{p,\alpha} \subset D_{min}(L).$$

Proof. The inclusion $D_{p,\alpha} \subset D_{max}(L) \cap D(|x|^{\alpha-2})$ is evident and $D_{p,\alpha} \subset D_{min}(L)$ follows from the density of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $D_{p,\alpha}$. Let $u \in D_{max}(L) \cap D(|x|^{\alpha-2})$, we define $v = |x|^{\alpha-2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and note that $Lu = \tilde{L}v - bv$ where

$$\tilde{L} = |x|^2\Delta + (4 - 2\alpha + c)x\cdot\nabla + (2-\alpha)(N - \alpha + c).$$

Then $v \in D_{max}(\tilde{L})$ and therefore, by Proposition 2.3, $|x|\nabla v, |x|^2 D^2 v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This yields $u \in D_{p,\alpha}$ and concludes the proof. □

We need also the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of certain singular ordinary differential equations related to Bessel equations. We recall that the numbers s_1, s_2 are defined in (4).

Lemma 2.6 *Let $\alpha \neq 2$, $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 0$ and assume that $k := b + (\frac{N-2+c}{2})^2 \geq 0$. The differential equation*

$$\lambda u - r^\alpha \left(u'' + \frac{N-1+c}{r} u' - \frac{b}{r^2} u \right) = 0 \tag{12}$$

has two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 with the following behavior: if $\alpha < 2$ and $k > 0$, then

$$u_1(r) \approx r^{-s_1} \quad \text{near } 0, \qquad u_1(r) \approx r^{-\frac{N-2+c}{2} + \frac{\alpha-2}{4}} e^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}} \quad \text{near } \infty, \tag{13}$$

$$u_2(r) \approx r^{-s_2} \quad \text{near } 0, \qquad u_2(r) \approx r^{-\frac{N-2+c}{2} + \frac{\alpha-2}{4}} e^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}} \quad \text{near } \infty; \tag{14}$$

if $\alpha < 2$ and $k = 0$, then

$$u_1(r) \approx r^{-\frac{N-2+c}{2}} \quad \text{near } 0, \qquad u_2(r) \approx -r^{-\frac{N-2+c}{2}} \log r \quad \text{near } 0, \tag{15}$$

and the behavior at ∞ is as above. When $\alpha > 2$, (13), (14) and (15) hold with 0 and ∞ interchanged in each of them.

Proof. Defining $\tilde{u}(r) = r^{\frac{N-2+c}{2}} u(r)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 \tilde{u}''(r) + r\tilde{u}'(r) &= r^{\frac{N-2+c}{2}} \left(r^2 u''(r) + r(N-1+c) u'(r) + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2} \right)^2 u(r) \right) \\ &= r^{\frac{N-2+c}{2}} \left(\lambda r^{2-\alpha} + b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2} \right)^2 \right) u(r) = \left(\lambda r^{2-\alpha} + k \right) \tilde{u}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $v(r) = \tilde{u}\big(cr^\gamma\big)$, we have

$$r^2 v''(r) + r v'(r) = \gamma^2 \left[c^2 r^{2\gamma} \tilde{u}''(cr^{2\gamma}) + cr^\gamma r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \tilde{u}'(cr^\gamma) \right] = \gamma^2 \left(\lambda c^{2-\alpha} r^{\gamma(2-\alpha)} + k \right) v(r).$$

Choosing

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{2-\alpha} \qquad c = \left(\frac{(2-\alpha)^2}{4\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} \tag{16}$$

it follows that v satisfies the Bessel equation

$$r^2 v''(r) + r v'(r) = (\nu^2 + r^2) v(r) \tag{17}$$

with $\nu^2 = \big(\frac{2}{2-\alpha}\big)^2 k$. for which the modified Bessel functions I_ν and K_ν constitute a basis. We note that both I_ν and K_ν are positive, I_ν is monotone increasing and K_ν is monotone decreasing. Moreover, by [3, Section 7.5],

$$I_\nu(r) \approx r^\nu \quad \text{near } 0, \qquad I_\nu(r) \approx \frac{e^r}{\sqrt{r}} \quad \text{near } \infty$$

$$K_\nu(r) \approx r^{-\nu} \ (\nu > 0), \quad K_\nu \approx -\log r \ (\nu = 0) \quad \text{near } 0, \qquad K_\nu(r) \approx \frac{e^{-r}}{\sqrt{r}} \quad \text{near } \infty.$$

Since

$$u_1(r) := r^{-\frac{N-2+c}{2}} I_\nu \left(\big|1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\big| \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \right), \qquad u_2(r) := r^{-\frac{N-2+c}{2}} K_\nu \left(\big|1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\big| \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \right).$$

all the assertions readily follow. □

The following elementary consequence of Hölder inequality will be used several times; we state it here to fix the parameters.

Lemma 2.7 *Assume that μ is a measure and that all powers are integrable with respect to ν. If $\gamma_1 \le \gamma_2 \le \gamma_3$, then*

$$\||x|^{\gamma_2}\|_p \le \||x|^{\gamma_1}\|_p^{\tau} \||x|^{\gamma_3}\|_p^{1-\tau}$$

with $\tau = \frac{\gamma_3-\gamma_2}{\gamma_3-\gamma_1}$ and the norms are taken in L^p with respect to μ.

3 Non existence of positive solutions for $b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 < 0$

A famous result in [2], see also [5], [4] for different proofs, states that the equation $u_t = \Delta u - b|x|^{-2}u$ does not admit positive solution if $b + (N-2)^2/4 < 0$. Note that $b_0 := (N-2)^2/4$ is the best constant in Hardy inequality in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. A detailed analysis of the solution for $b \ge -b_0$ is done in [28], including an investigation of oscillating solutions for $b < -b_0$. The above result does not say that the symmetric operator $\Delta - b|x|^{-2}$ does not generate a semigroup for $b < -b_0$. In fact in [26] it is proved that for every $b \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator above, endowed with a suitable domain, generates a self-adjoint semigroup of positivity preserving operators. However the semigroup solution so produced, satisfies the parabolic equation in a weaker sense than in [2], namely it is a distributional solution in a set $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus F$ where F is a closed set of measure zero. In this section we show that a phenomenon similar to that of [2] occurs, independently of α. We prove it for the elliptic problem rather than for the parabolic one.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\alpha \ne 2$, $b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 < 0$. Then, for every $\lambda > 0$, there exists a radial function $0 \le \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\phi \not\equiv 0$, such that the problem*

$$\lambda u - Lu = \phi \tag{18}$$

does not admit any positive distributional solution in Ω.

Proof. Assume that $\alpha < 2$ and that there exists $u \ge 0$ satisfying (18) as a distribution in Ω. By local elliptic regularity, $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. Set

$$v(r) = \int_{S^{N-1}} u(r\omega) d\omega.$$

Since $u \ge 0$, then $v \ge 0$ and, by the divergence theorem, we have for $r > \delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} v'(r) &= \int_{S^{N-1}} \nabla u(r\omega)\cdot\omega \, d\omega = r^{1-N}\int_{|\eta|=r} \nabla u(\eta)\cdot\frac{\eta}{r}\, d\eta = r^{1-N}\int_{B_r\setminus B_\delta} \Delta u(x)\, dx \\ &\quad + r^{1-N}\int_{|\eta|=\delta} \nabla u(\eta)\cdot\frac{\eta}{\delta}\, d\eta \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{N-1}v'(r)\right) = \int_{|\eta|=r} \Delta u(\eta)\, d\eta = r^{N-1}\int_{S^{N-1}} \Delta u(r\omega)\, d\omega$$

and therefore

$$v'' + (N-1+c)\frac{v'}{r} - \frac{b}{r^2}v = \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\Delta u(r\omega) + \frac{c}{r}\nabla u(r\omega)\cdot r\omega - \frac{b}{r^2}u(r\omega)\right) d\omega = \int_{S^{N-1}} r^{-\alpha} Lu(r\omega)\, d\omega$$

Then it follows from (18) that v satisfies

$$\lambda v - r^\alpha \left[v'' + (N-1+c)\frac{v'}{r} - \frac{b}{r^2} v \right] = \phi(r).$$

Setting $w(s) = e^{(\frac{N-2+c}{2})s} v(e^s)$ we get

$$w''(s) = (k + \lambda e^{(2-\alpha)s}) w(s) - e^{(\frac{3}{2}-\alpha)s} \phi(e^s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{19}$$

where

$$k = b + \frac{(N-2+c)^2}{4} < 0.$$

We choose $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(k + \lambda e^{(2-\alpha)s}) \leq k/2 < 0$ for $s \leq m$. By the Sturm Comparison Theorem all non-zero solutions of the homogeneous equation

$$\zeta''(s) = (k + \lambda e^{(2-\alpha)s}) \zeta(s) \tag{20}$$

are oscillating for $s \leq m$. By variation of parameters we write

$$w(s) = u_2(s) \int_{-\infty}^{s} u_1(t) g(t) dt + u_1(s) \int_s^{\infty} u_2(t) g(t) dt + c_1 u_1(s) + c_2 u_2(s),$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, $g(s) = e^{(\frac{3}{2}-\alpha)s} \phi(e^s)$ and u_i, $i = 1, 2$ are linearly independent solutions of (20) with Wronskian equal to 1. Since g is compactly supported we have for s near $-\infty$

$$w(s) = u_1(s) \int_{supp\, g} u_2(t) g(t) dt + c_1 u_1(s) + c_2 u_2(s).$$

However w is non-negative, because $v \geq 0$, and also oscillating near $-\infty$ since solves (20). Hence $w = 0$ near $-\infty$ and therefore

$$c_1 = -\int_{supp\, g} u_2(t) g(t) dt, \quad c_2 = 0.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} w(s) &= u_2(s) \int_{-\infty}^{s} u_1(t) g(t) dt + u_1(s) \int_s^{\infty} u_2(t) g(t) dt - u_1(s) \int_{supp\, g} u_2(t) g(t) dt \\ &= u_2(s) \int_{-\infty}^{s} u_1(t) g(t) dt - u_1(s) \int_{-\infty}^{s} u_2(t) g(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{s} (u_1(t) u_2(s) - u_1(s) u_2(t)) g(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed s the function $t \mapsto G(s,t) = u_1(t) u_2(s) - u_1(s) u_2(t)$ is also oscillating near $t = -\infty$. Therefore, if we choose $g \neq 0$ such that $G(s,t) < 0$ on $supp\, g$, we get $w(s) < 0$ and this contradicts $v \geq 0$. The case $\alpha > 2$ is similar arguing near $+\infty$ instead of 0. □

4 The case $b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 > 0$: L_{min} and L_{max}

We always assume that $\alpha \neq 2$. We recall the function f defined in Introduction

$$f(s) = b + s(N-2+c-s)$$

and note that

$$\max_{s\in\mathbb{R}} f(s) = b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 > 0$$

Its roots are s_1, s_2 defined in (4) and $f(s) > 0$ if and only if $s_1 < s < s_2$. Observe that the equation $Lu = 0$ has the two radial solutions $|x|^{-s_1}, |x|^{-s_2}$.

Definition 4.1 *In order to approximate L with uniformly elliptic operators we set for ε ($0 < \varepsilon < 1 < \varepsilon^{-1}$), $\Omega_\varepsilon = B_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \setminus B_\varepsilon$ and $L_\varepsilon = L$ in Ω_ε with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Since L_ε is uniformly elliptic it follows that $D(L_\varepsilon) = W^{2,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)$. To shorten the notation we also write L_0 for L_{min}.*

4.1 Positive results for L_{min}

We first prove necessary and sufficient conditions under which L_ε and L_{min} are sectorial in the sense of [9, Definitions 1.5.8]; note that the sectoriality (or more precisely, sectorial-valuedness) in a Hilbert space was originally introduced in [10, Section V.3.10].

Proposition 4.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If*

$$f\left(\frac{N-2+\alpha}{p}\right) = b + \frac{(N+\alpha-2)^2}{p'p} + \frac{(N+\alpha-2)(c-\alpha)}{p} > 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$s_1 + \frac{2-\alpha}{p} < \frac{N}{p} < s_2 + \frac{2-\alpha}{p},$$

then the operators L_ε, L_{min} are sectorial in $L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, respectively, with sectoriality constants independent of ε. Moreover L_{min} is dissipative in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if

$$b + \frac{(N+\alpha-2)^2}{p'p} + \frac{(N+\alpha-2)(c-\alpha)}{p} \geq 0. \tag{21}$$

Furthermore, if $f(\frac{N-2+\alpha}{p}) = 0$ and $\frac{N-2+\alpha}{p} = \frac{N-2+c}{2}$, then L_ε and L_{min} are sectorial.

The dissipativity of $L_{\min}$ with $\alpha = 0$ is independently proved in [21] with constant $f((N-2)/p)$.

Proof. Let $u \in D(L_\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ or $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ when $\varepsilon = 0$. Multiply Lu by $\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}$ and integrate it over $\mathbb{R}^N$. The integration by parts is straightforward when $p \geq 2$. For $1 < p < 2$, $|u|^{p-2}$ becomes singular near the zeros of u. It is possible to prove that the integration by parts is allowed also in this case (see [14]). Put $v = |x|^{\frac{N-2+\alpha}{p}} u$. Then

$$Lu = |x|^\alpha \mathrm{div}\left(|x|^{-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}} \nabla v - \frac{N+\alpha-2}{p} |x|^{-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}-2} x v\right) \tag{22}$$

$$+ c|x|^{\alpha-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}-2} x \cdot \nabla v - \left(b + \frac{c(N+\alpha-2)}{p}\right) |x|^{\alpha-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}-2} v. \tag{23}$$

Setting $v^\star = \overline{v}|v|^{p-2}$, by integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (-Lu)\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}\left(\nabla v - \frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\frac{xv}{|x|^2}\right)\cdot\left(\nabla v^\star - \left(N-2-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\frac{xv^\star}{|x|^2}\right)\,dx \\
&\quad - c\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}(x\cdot\nabla v)v^\star\,dx + \left(b + \frac{c(N+\alpha-2)}{p}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-N} v v^\star\,dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}\left(\nabla v\cdot\nabla v^*\right)\,dx - 2\left(\frac{N-2+c}{2} - \frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}(x\cdot\nabla v)v^*\,dx \\
&\quad + \left[b + \frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\left(N-2+c-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\right]\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N} v v^*\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking real and imaginary parts of both sides of the equality, and since $\mathrm{div}(x|x|^{-N}) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Re\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (-Lu)\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx\right) \\
&= (p-1)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}|u|^{p-4}|Re(\overline{v}\nabla v)|^2\,dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}|v|^{p-4}|Im(\overline{v}\nabla v)|^2\,dx \\
&- 2\left(\frac{N-2+c}{2} - \frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}(x\cdot Re(\overline{v}\nabla v))|v|^{p-2}\,dx \qquad (24)\\
&+ f\left(\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}|v|^p\,dx \\
&= (p-1)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}|u|^{p-4}|Re(\overline{v}\nabla v)|^2\,dx + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}|v|^{p-4}|Im(\overline{v}\nabla v)|^2\,dx \\
&\quad + f\left(\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}|v|^p\,dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}(\nabla v\cdot\nabla v^*)\,dx + f\left(\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}|v|^p\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Im\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (-Lu)\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx\right) &= (p-2)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}|u|^{p-4}Re(\overline{u}\nabla u)\cdot Im(\overline{u}\nabla u)\,dx \\
&\quad - 2\left(\frac{N-2+c}{2} - \frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}(x\cdot Im(\overline{v}\nabla v))|v|^{p-2}\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore setting

$$\begin{aligned}
B^2 &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |v|^{p-4}|x|^{2-N}|Re(\overline{v}\nabla v)|^2 dx, \\
C^2 &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |v|^{p-4}|x|^{2-N}|Im(\overline{v}\nabla v)|^2 dx, \\
D^2 &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{(\alpha-2)}|u|^p dx = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}|v|^p dx,
\end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$Re\left(\int_{\Omega\varepsilon}(-Lu)\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx\right)=(p-1)B^2+C^2+f\left(\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)D^2 \tag{25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|Im\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(-Lu)\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx\right)\right|\\&\le|p-2|\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|v|^{p-4}|x|^{2-N}|Re(\overline{v}\nabla v)|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|v|^{p-4}|x|^{2-N}|Im(\overline{v}\nabla v)|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac12}\\&+2\left|\frac{N-2+c}{2}-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right|\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|v|^{p-2}|x|^{1-N}|Im(\overline{v}\nabla v)|\,dx\\&\le|p-2|BC+2\left|\frac{N-2+c}{2}-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right|CD.\end{aligned}$$

By condition (21) or condition $\frac{N-2+\alpha}{p}=\frac{N-2+c}{2}$, we see that

$$\left|Im\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(-Lu)u|u|^{p-2}\,dx\right)\right|\le l_\alpha\left\{Re\left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(-Lu)u|u|^{p-2}\,dx\right)\right\}, \tag{26}$$

where

$$l_\alpha=\sqrt{\frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)}+\left|\frac{N-2+c}{2}-\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right|^2 f\left(\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)^{-1}}$$

$(0/0=0)$. This shows the sectoriality of L_ε and L_{min}, with sectoriality constants independent of ε. Assume now that L_{min} is dissipative. Then, by (25) for real-valued functions, the inequality

$$(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|x|^{2-N}|\nabla v|^2|v|^{p-2}\,dx+f\left(\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|x|^{-N}|v|^p\,dx\ge0$$

holds. By [6, Corollary 2.3 (ii)] (with $b=(N-2)/2$ and $u=|v|^{p/2}$) we obtain $f\left(\frac{N+\alpha-2}{p}\right)\ge0$. □

Remark 4.3 We remark that the above proposition holds also when $b+(N-2+c)^2/4=0$ and $(N-2+\alpha)/p=(N-2+c)/2$. In this case $s_1=s_2=(N-2+c)/2$, hence the condition $f(\frac{N-2+\alpha}{p})=0$ is satisfied. We also remark that the choice of the power in the substitution $v=|x|^{\frac{N-2+\alpha}{p}}u$ is the only one which leads to the term $x|x|^{-N}$ in (24) which has zero divergence.

We can state the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 4.4 *Let $p,\ \alpha,\ c,\ b$ satisfy*

$$f\left(\frac{N}{p}-2+\alpha\right)=\left(\frac{N}{p}-2+\alpha\right)\left(\frac{N}{p'}-\alpha+c\right)+b>0,$$

or equivalently,

$$s_1+2-\alpha<\frac{N}{p}<s_2+2-\alpha.$$

Then the operator L_{min} generates a bounded positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, coherent with respect to all p satisfying the above inequalities. Moreover, $D_{min}(L)$ coincides with

$$D_{p,\alpha}=\{u\in W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega),\ |x|^\alpha D^2u,\ |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u,\ |x|^{\alpha-2}u\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Note that the generation interval $]s_1+2-\alpha, s_2+2-\alpha[$ differs from the conctractivity interval $]s_1+(2-\alpha)/p, s_2+(2-\alpha)/p[$. In particular, for certain values of p, L_{min} generates a non-contractive semigroup. The proof of the theorem above is based upon the perturbation result stated in Theorem A.1. The next lemma provides the validity of its assumptions for the operators L_ε introduced in Definition 4.1, with constants independent of ε.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $p,\ \alpha,\ c,\ b$ as in Theorem 4.4. Put*

$$M := f\left(\frac{N}{p}-2+\alpha\right) = b+\left(\frac{N}{p}-2+\alpha\right)\left(\frac{N}{p'}-\alpha+c\right) > 0$$

as in Theorem 4.3. Then for $V(x)=|x|^{\alpha-2}$,

$$-Re\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(Lu)V^{p-1}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx \geq M\|Vu\|_p^p$$

for every $u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. Put $\beta := \alpha+(p-1)(\alpha-2)$. Then since $\frac{N-2+\beta}{p} = \frac{N}{p}+\alpha-2$, we have

$$f\left(\frac{N-2+\beta}{p}\right) = f\left(\frac{N}{p}+\alpha-2\right) > 0.$$

Now let $u\in D(L_\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon>0$ or $u\in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ when $\varepsilon=0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&-Re\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(Lu)V^{p-1}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx\\
&=-Re\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left(|x|^\alpha\Delta u+c|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\nabla u-b|x|^{\alpha-2}u\right)|x|^{(\alpha-2)(p-1)}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx\\
&=-Re\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left(|x|^\beta\Delta u+c|x|^{\beta-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\nabla u-b|x|^{\beta-2}u\right)\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta=\alpha+(p-1)(\alpha-2)$ is as defined above. By applying (25) with $\alpha=\beta$, we get

$$-Re\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(Lu)V^{p-1}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx \geq f\left(\frac{N-2+\beta}{p}\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|x|^{\beta-2}|u|^p\,dx.$$

Since $\beta-2=p(\alpha-2)$, this is nothing but the desired inequality with $M=f(\frac{N}{p}+\alpha-2)$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.4. **Step 1.** First assume that b is sufficiently large so that the conditions of Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.5 are satisfied. Then, by (26), there exists $0<\theta<\pi/2$ such that $\lambda-L_\varepsilon$ is injective for $\lambda\in\Sigma_{\pi/2+\theta}$ for every $\varepsilon\geq 0$ (take such a θ with $\tan\theta<l_\alpha$). For $\varepsilon>0$, L_ε is uniformly elliptic, hence generates an analytic semigroup. By (26) again, $\lambda-L_\varepsilon$ is invertible and satisfies $\|(\lambda-L_\varepsilon)^{-1}\|\leq C|\lambda|^{-1}$ for $\lambda\in\Sigma_{\pi/2+\theta}$ with C independent of ε (actually, $C=1$ in Σ_θ). Let $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_\varepsilon=(\lambda-L)^{-1}f$ for $\lambda\in\Sigma_{\pi/2+\theta}$. Since $\|u_\varepsilon\|\leq C|\lambda|^{-1}$ and $\lambda u_\varepsilon-Lu_\varepsilon=f$, by local elliptic regularity we can find a sequence u_n such that $u_{\varepsilon_n}\to u$ weakly in $W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ and pointwise. By Lemma 4.5, $M\|Vu_\varepsilon\|\leq\|Lu_\varepsilon\|\leq(C+1)\|f\|$ ($V(x)=|x|^{\alpha-2}$) and therefore $|\lambda|\|u\|_p\leq C\|f\|_p$, $\|Vu\|_p\leq(C+1)\|f\|_p$ and $\lambda u-Lu=f$. Since $u\in D_{max}(L)\cap D(V)$, by Lemma 2.5 $u\in D_{min}(L)$ and this shows that $\lambda-L_{min}$ is invertible for $\lambda\in\Sigma_{\pi/2+\theta}$ and that $\|(\lambda-L_{min})^{-1}\|\leq C|\lambda|^{-1}$. If $\lambda>0$ and $f\geq 0$, then $u_\varepsilon\geq 0$ by the classical maximum principle,

hence $u \geq 0$. Finally, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then the solutions u_ε do not depend on p, q and we can select the same sequence (u_{ε_n}) convergent both in L^p and in L^q. Therefore u is the same in L^p, L^q and this shows the coherence of the resolvents.

Step 2. Assume now that b satisfies only the condition in the statement and let $A = -L + kV$ with k large enough to satisfy also the conditions of Step 1. Then $(-A)_{min}$ generates an analytic semigroup of positive contractions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, coherent with respect to $1 < p < \infty$. By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-Lu)\, V^{p-1}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\, dx \geq M\|Vu\|_p^p.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-Lu + kV)\, V^{p-1}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\, dx \geq (M+k)\|Vu\|_p^p.$$

By Theorem A.1, the operator $-(A + tV) = L - kV - tV$, with the same domain as $(-A)_{min}$, generates a bounded analytic semigroup of positive operators for every $t > -(M + k)$. In particular, choosing $t = -k$, we deduce that the operator $(L, D_{min}(A))$ generates a bounded analytic semigroup of positive operators in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is a core for A_{min} it follows that $(L, D_{min}(A)) = L_{min}$. Finally if also q satisfies the inequalities in the statement, the coherence of the resolvents, hence of the semigroups, follows from the perturbation argument, since the unperturbed semigroups are coherent, by Step 1.

Step 3. Finally we prove equality (9). The inclusion $D_{p,\alpha} \subset D_{min}(L)$ follows since $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $D_{p,\alpha}$, see Lemma 2.4. Conversely, let $u \in D_{min}(L)$. By [12, Theorem 3.1], we have the estimate $\||x|^{\alpha-2}u\|_p \leq C\|Lu\|_p$ and therefore $u \in D_{max}(L) \cap D(|x|^{\alpha-2})$. By Lemma 2.5, $u \in D_{p,\alpha}$. □

4.2 Positive results for L_{max}

We consider the adjoint operator

$$\tilde{L} = |x|^\alpha \Delta + \tilde{c}|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla - \tilde{b}|x|^{\alpha-2}$$

where $\tilde{c} = 2\alpha - c$, $\tilde{b} = b + (c - \alpha)(\alpha - 2 + N)$ on $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, see (6), (7) . Since, by Proposition 2.2, $L_{max} = (\tilde{L}_{min})^*$, we deduce generation results for L_{max} by duality.

Theorem 4.6 *Let $p,\ \alpha,\ c,\ b$ such that*

$$f\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) = b + \omega_p = b + \frac{N}{p}\left(\frac{N}{p'} - 2 + c\right) > 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$s_1 < \frac{N}{p} < s_2.$$

Then the operator L_{max} generates a bounded positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, coherent with respect to all p satisfying the above inequalities.

Proof. It is sufficient to write the conditions of Theorem 4.4 for the operator $\tilde{L}$ in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, to recall that $\tilde{s}_i = s_i + \alpha - c$, $i = 1, 2$, $s_1 + s_2 = N - 2 + c$, and then to argue by duality. □

Observe that the condition in the above theorem is independent of α. Observe also that if p satisfies both the conditions of Theorems 4.4, 4.6, that is if $s_1 < N/p < s_2$ and $s_1+2-\alpha < N/p < s_2+2-\alpha$, then $L_{min} = L_{max}$.

Remark 4.7 In the next sections we shall see what happens in Theorems 4.4, 4.6 when N/p coincides with one of the endpoints. For example, if $\alpha < 2$, then L_{min} generates if and only if $s_1 + 2 - \alpha \le N/p < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$, see Propositions 4.8, 4.9, 5.5 but for the equality $D(L_{min}) = D_p$ one needs $s_1 + 2 - \alpha < N/p < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$, see Proposition 5.8. By duality L_{max} is a generator if and only if $s_1 < N/p \le s_2$. The case $\alpha > 2$ is similar with the roles of s_1, s_2 interchanged.

4.3 Negative results for L_{min}

We prove that the generation conditions for L_{min} given in Theorem 4.4 are sharp.

Proposition 4.8 *If $\alpha < 2$ and $N/p < s_1 + 2 - \alpha$ or $\alpha > 2$ and $N/p > s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ then, for every $\lambda > 0$, $\overline{Rg(\lambda - L_{min})} \neq L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore L_{min} does not generate a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. We focus on the case $\alpha < 2$, the other being similar. We consider the adjoint operator $\tilde{L}$ defined in (6), see Proposition 2.2, and we prove that $N(\lambda - \tilde{L}_{max}) \neq \{0\}$ in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by exhibiting a radial function $u \in D_{max}(\tilde{L})$ in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$\lambda u - \tilde{L}u = 0. \tag{27}$$

By Lemma 2.6 with b and c respectively replaced with $\tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{c}$ defined in (7), u can be written by $u = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2$, where u_j is defined in Lemma 2.6. In order to have integrability of $u(\rho)$ in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for large ρ, we consider the solution u_2 ($c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 1$). This choice will lead to an additional assumption to insure also the integrability near the origin: the solution u_2 is in $L^{p'}(B_1)$ if and only if

$$\left(\frac{N - 2 + 2\alpha - c}{2} + \sqrt{k}\right) < \frac{N}{p'}, \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad \frac{N}{p} < s_1 + 2 - \alpha. \tag{28}$$

□

Proposition 4.9 *If $\alpha < 2$ and $N/p \ge s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ or $\alpha > 2$ and $N/p \le s_1 + 2 - \alpha$ then for every $\lambda > 0$, $N(\lambda - L_{min}) \neq \{0\}$. Therefore no extension of L_{min} generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.8, we focus on the case $\alpha < 2$ and we prove the existence of a radial function $u \in D_{min}(L) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$u - Lu = 0.$$

We write $u = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2$, where u_j is defined in Lemma 2.6 for $j = 1, 2$. The integrability of u near ∞ implies that $u = c_2 u_2$ with $c_2 \neq 0$.
We prove that $u_2 \in D_{min}(L)$. We first assume that $s_2 < N/p + \alpha - 2$. In this case from (14) we have $u_1, |x|^{\alpha-2}u_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain $u_2 \in D_{min}(L)$.
Next, we assume that $s_2 = N/p + \alpha - 2$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\alpha + \varepsilon < 2$. Then using (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\||x|^{\alpha-2+\varepsilon}u_2\|^p &= \int_{B_1} |x|^{(\alpha-2+\varepsilon)p}|u_2|^p\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} |x|^{(\alpha-2+\varepsilon)p}|u_2|^p\,dx \\
&\le C_1 \int_{B_1} |x|^{(\alpha-2+\varepsilon)p-ps_2}\,dx + C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_1} \exp\left\{-C_3|x|^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}\right\}dx \\
&\le C_1\omega_N \int_0^1 r^{\varepsilon p-1}\,dr + C_2' \le \frac{C_1\omega_N}{\varepsilon p} + C_2'.
\end{aligned}$$

We apply Lemma 2.5 to $|x|^\varepsilon L$ (with $\alpha+\varepsilon$ instead of α) to deduce that $u_2 \in D_{p,\alpha+\varepsilon}$. Moreover the interpolation inequality (10) yields

$$\||x|^{\alpha-1+\varepsilon}\nabla u_2\| \le C'(\|(|x|^\varepsilon L)u_2\| + \||x|^{\alpha-2+\varepsilon}u_2\|) \le C'(\||x|^\varepsilon u_2\| + \||x|^{\alpha-2+\varepsilon}u_2\|) \le C''(1+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}}).$$

Hence we have

$$L(|x|^\varepsilon u_2) = |x|^\varepsilon u_2 + 2\varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2+\varepsilon}x\cdot\nabla u_2 + \varepsilon(N-2+c+\varepsilon)|x|^{\alpha-2}u_2 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

This implies that $|x|^\varepsilon u_2 \in D_{max}(L)\cap D(|x|^{\alpha-2}) \subset |x|^\varepsilon u_2 \in D_{min}(L)$, by Lemma 2.5. Moreover, by the above estimates

$$\|L_{min}(|x|^\varepsilon u_2) - u_2\| \le C'''(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{p}}).$$

The closedness of L_{min} yields $u_2 \in D_{min}(L)$ and $L_{min}u_2 = u_2$. □

4.4 Negative results for $L_{min} \subset L \subset L_{max}$

Since $L_{max} = (\tilde{L}_{min})^*$, from Propositions 4.8, 4.9 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.10 *(i) If $\alpha < 2$ and $N/p \le s_1$ or $\alpha > 2$ and $N/p \ge s_2$, then for every $\lambda > 0$, $\overline{R(\lambda - L_{max})} \ne L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore no restriction of L_{max} generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

(ii) If $\alpha < 2$ and $N/p > s_2$ or $\alpha > 2$ and $N/p < s_1$, then for every $\lambda > 0$, $\overline{N(\lambda - L_{max})} \ne L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore L_{max} does not generate a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. We prove (i) and assume $\alpha < 2$. By Proposition 4.9 $N(\lambda - \tilde{L}_{min}) \ne \{0\}$ in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is $\overline{R(\lambda - L_{max})} \ne L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, if $N/p' \ge \tilde{s}_2 + 2 - \alpha$. Here $\tilde{s}_i$ are the roots of the function f defined in (3) and relative to $\tilde{L}$. Since $\tilde{s}_i = s_i + \alpha - c$ the above condition reads $N/p \le N + c - 2 - s_2 = s_1$. The case $\alpha > 2$ is similar. The proof of (ii) follows similarly from Proposition 4.8. □

Finally we state the following negative result for any realization (L, D) such that $D_{min}(L) \subset D_{max}(L)$, $L_{min} \subset L \subset L_{max}$, in short. This proves the "only if " part in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.11 *Let $\alpha \ne 2$ and assume that $N/p \le s_1 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\}$ or $N/p \ge s_2 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\}$. Then no realization of the operator L between L_{min} and L_{max} generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Propositions 4.9, 4.10 (i). In fact, if $\alpha < 2$ and $N/p \le s_1$ no restriction of $\lambda - L_{max}$ can be surjective whereas if $N/p \ge s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ no extension of $\lambda - L_{min}$ can be injective. □

5 The case $b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 > 0$: $L_{min} \subset L \subset L_{max}$

We always assume $\alpha \ne 2$ and show that a suitable realization of $L_{min} \subset L \subset L_{max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if

$$s_1 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\} < \frac{N}{p} < s_2 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\}. \tag{29}$$

To explain the meaning of the above condition let us fix $\alpha < 2$. By the results of the previous section L_{max} generates if $s_1 < N/p < s_2$ and L_{min} when $s_1 + 2 - \alpha < N/p < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$

and $L_{min} = L_{max}$ if both conditions are satisfied. Therefore we have generation under (29) if $s_2 < s_1 + 2 - \alpha$. However this last condition is not always verified: this is the case when when α is very negative but also for $N = 3, 4$ and $\alpha = b = c = 0$: as already pointed out in the Introduction Δ_{min} generates for $p \le N/2$ and Δ_{max} for $p \ge N/(N-2)$. We also remark that under under the condition $s_1 + (2-\alpha)/p \le N/p \le s_2 + (2-\alpha)/p$, see Proposition 4.2, L is dissipative in the annulus $B_{\varepsilon-1} \setminus B_\varepsilon$ when endowed with Dirichlet boundary conditions. A semigroup can therefore be constructed via approximation as in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 4.4. We do not follow this approach since it does not cover all cases considered in (29).

5.1 The operator L_{int}

We define an intermediate operator L_{int} between L_{min} and L_{max} as

$$D_{int}(L) = \{u \in D_{max}(L) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\ ;\ |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for every } \theta \in I\}, \tag{30}$$

where I is the interval of all $\theta \in [0,1]$ such that

$$f\left(\frac{N}{p} + \theta(\alpha-2)\right) > 0. \tag{31}$$

Note that (29) is equivalent to the existence of some $\theta \in [0,1]$ satisfying (31). First we show the injectivity of $\lambda - L_{int}$ for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$.

Lemma 5.1 *For every λ such that $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$, $\lambda - L_{int}$ is injective.*

Proof. We fix $\theta \in I$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ and suppose that $u \in D_{int}(L)$ satisfies $(\lambda u - L_{int})u = 0$. Set

$$A = |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} L |x|^{-\theta(\alpha-2)} = |x|^\alpha \Delta + c_A |x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla - b_A |x|^{\alpha-2},$$

where $c_A = c - 2\theta(\alpha-2)$ and $b_A := b + \theta(\alpha-2)(N-2+c-\theta(\alpha-2))$. Then

$$(\lambda - A)|x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u = |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}(\lambda - L)u = 0.$$

Setting $v := |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $v \in D(A_{max})$ and $(\lambda - A_{max})v = 0$. On the other hand, A satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 4.6

$$\begin{aligned} b_A + \frac{N}{p}\left(\frac{N}{p'} - 2 + c_A\right) &= b + \theta(\alpha-2)(N-2+c-\theta(\alpha-2)) + \frac{N}{p}\left(\frac{N}{p'} - 2 + c - 2\theta(\alpha-2)\right) \\ &= b + \left(\frac{N}{p} + \theta(\alpha-2)\right)\left(\frac{N}{p'} - 2 + c - \theta(\alpha-2)\right) = f\left(\frac{N}{p} + \theta(\alpha-2)\right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then A_{max} generates a bounded analytic semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and, in particular, $\lambda \in \rho(A_{max})$. Hence $v = (\lambda - A_{max})^{-1}(\lambda - A_{max})v = 0$ and, by the definition of v, $u = 0$, too. □

We approximate L_{int} through the operators

$$\begin{cases} L_t := |x|^\alpha \Delta + c|x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla - (b+k)|x|^{\alpha-2} + k \min\{t, |x|^{\alpha-2}\}, \\ D(L_t) := D_{p,\alpha} \end{cases}$$

where $t > 0$, $D_{p,\alpha}$ is defined in (9) and k is a large fixed nonnegative constant for which the conditions of Proposition 4.2 and Theorems 4.4, 4.6 are satisfied for every $p > 1$. Observe that, in particular $D_{p,\alpha} = D_{min}(L) = D_{max}(L)$. Then we have

Lemma 5.2 *For every $1 < p < \infty$, L_t generates an analytic semigroup of positive operators in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, coherent with respect to p. Moreover $(kt, \infty) \subset \rho(L_t)$ and $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is a core for L_t.*

Proof. Because of the assumption on k we see from Theorem 4.4 that $L - k|x|^{\alpha-2}$ with domain $D_p(L)$ generates an analytic semigroup of positive operators in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $1 < p < \infty$, coherent with respect to p. Since $k \min\{t, |x|^{\alpha-2}\}$ is bounded the same is true for L_t and moreover $(kt, \infty) \in \rho(L_t)$. □

We show weighted and unweighted resolvent estimates for L_t with constants independent of t.

Lemma 5.3 *Let θ satisfy (31). Then there exist constants $C, C' > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $t > 0$ and $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,*

$$\|u\|_p \le \frac{C}{|\lambda|} \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p. \tag{32}$$

$$\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} u\|_p \le \frac{C'}{|\lambda|^{1-\theta}} \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p. \tag{33}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{C}_+ \subset \rho(L_t)$ and $\|(\lambda - L_t)^{-1}\| \le C|\lambda|^{-1}$.

Proof. First we prove (33) when $\theta \in [\frac{1}{p}, 1]$. We observe that the assumptions of Proposition 4.2 are satisfied if we replace α with $\beta = \alpha + (p\theta - 1)(\alpha - 2)$, hence $\beta - 2 = p\theta(\alpha - 2)$. Therefore we consider the operator $|x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)} L$. For $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-Lu)|x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)} \overline{u} |u|^{p-2}\, dx \right] \ge 0, \quad \omega \in [-\frac{\pi}{2} + \omega_1, \frac{\pi}{2} - \omega_1],$$

where $\pi/2 - \omega_1 > 0$ is the angle of sectoriality of $|x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)} L$. Since $L_t = L - V_t$ with $V_t \ge 0$, the same inequality holds for L_t, thus for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda e^{i\omega}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)} |u|^p\, dx \le \operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda - L_t u)|x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)} \overline{u} |u|^{p-2}\, dx \right] \tag{34}$$

and, by choosing $\omega \in [-\frac{\pi}{2} + \omega_1, \frac{\pi}{2} - \omega_1]$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda e^{i\omega}) = |\lambda| \cos\omega_1$, Hölder inequality yields

$$|\lambda| \cos\omega_1 \left\| |x|^{(\theta - \frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_p^p \le \left\| |x|^{\frac{p\theta-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_p^{p-1} \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p. \tag{35}$$

Noting that

$$0 \le \theta - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{p\theta - 1}{p - 1} \le \theta,$$

we apply Lemma 2.7 with respect to the measure $|u|^p\, dx$ to get

$$\left\| |x|^{\frac{p\theta-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_p \le \left\| |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_p^{\frac{p\theta-1}{p-1}} \left\| |x|^{(\theta-\frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_p^{1 - \frac{p\theta-1}{p-1}} \tag{36}$$

and

$$|\lambda| \cos\omega_1 \left\| |x|^{(\theta - \frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_p^{p\theta} \le \left\| |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_p^{p\theta - 1} \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p. \tag{37}$$

On the other hand, (25) applied again to $|x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)}L$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} M\left\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p^p &\le \operatorname{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\lambda u-Lu)|x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx \\ &\le \operatorname{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\lambda u-L_tu)|x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx \\ &\le \left\||x|^{\frac{p\theta-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p^{p-1}\|\lambda u-L_tu\|_p. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $M=f(\frac{N}{p}+\theta(\alpha-2))>0$. Combining (36) and (37) with the above estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)u}\right\|_p^p &\le \frac{1}{M}\left\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p^{p\theta-1}\left\||x|^{(\theta-\frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p^{p-p\theta}\|\lambda u-L_tu\|_p \\ &\le \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_1}\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}\left\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p^{\frac{p\theta-1}{\theta}}\|\lambda u-L_tu\|_p^{\frac{1}{\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\left\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p \le \frac{1}{M^\theta}\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_1}\right)^{1-\theta}\|\lambda u-L_tu\|_p. \tag{39}$$

Next we prove (32). From Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\omega}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(-Lu+k|x|^{\alpha-2}u)\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx\right]\ge 0,\quad \omega\in[-\frac{\pi}{2}+\omega_2,\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega_2]$$

where $\pi/2-\omega_2$ is the angle of sectoriality of $L-k|x|^{\alpha-2}$. Since $L_t=L-V_t$ with $V_t\ge 0$, the same inequality holds for L_t, thus for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda>0$ arguing as for (34)

$$|\lambda|\cos\omega_2\|u\|_p^p \le k\left\||x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{p}}u\right\|_p^p+\|u\|^{p-1}\|\lambda u-L_tu\|_p.$$

Since $p\theta\ge 1$ we may apply Hölder inequality to obtain the estimate

$$\left\||x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{p}}u\right\|_p \le \|u\|_p^{1-\frac{1}{p\theta}}\left\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p^{\frac{1}{p\theta}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_p^{\frac{1}{\theta}} &\le \frac{k}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_2}\left\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p^{\frac{1}{\theta}}+\frac{1}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_2}\|u\|_p^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}\|\lambda u-L_tu\|_p \\ &\le \frac{k}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_2}\left\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_p^{\frac{1}{\theta}}+(1-\theta)\|u\|_p^{\frac{1}{\theta}}+\theta\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_2}\|\lambda u-L_tu\|_p\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence using (39), we have

$$\|u\|_p\le\left[\frac{k}{\theta\cos\omega_2}\frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{1}{\cos\omega_1}\right)^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}+\left(\frac{1}{\cos\omega_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right]^\theta\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\|\lambda u-L_u\|_p.$$

This a-priori estimate implies that $\mathbb{C}_+\subset\rho(L_t))$ and that (32), (33) hold for every $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}_+$.

To deal with the case $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$ we consider the adjoint operator in $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$(L_t)^* v = |x|^\alpha \Delta + \tilde{c}|x|^{\alpha-2} x \cdot \nabla - (\tilde{b} + k)|x|^{\alpha-2} + k \min\{t, |x|^{\alpha-2}\},$$

where $\tilde{c} = 2\alpha - c$ and $\tilde{b} = b + (c - \alpha)(N + \alpha - 2)$, see 6) and (7). Then, taking $\tilde{\theta} := 1 - \theta \in (\frac{1}{p'}, 1)$, we see from (8) that

$$\tilde{f}\left(\frac{N}{p'} + \tilde{\theta}(\alpha - 2)\right) = f\left(\frac{N}{p} + \theta(\alpha - 2)\right) > 0.$$

Thus applying (32) to L_t^*, we obtain that $\mathbb{C}_+ \subset \rho(L_t^*)$ and

$$\|(\lambda - L_t^*)^{-1}\| \le \frac{C}{|\lambda|}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

By duality we have (32) for L_t. Finally, let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \chi \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_1 \quad \text{and } \chi \equiv 0 \text{ and } B_2^c & \text{if } \alpha < 2, \\ \chi \equiv 0 \text{ in } B_{1/2} \text{ and } \chi \equiv 1 \text{ and } B_1^c & \text{if } \alpha > 2. \end{cases}$$

Then noting that $p\theta < 1$, we obtain from (38)

$$\begin{aligned} M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{p\theta(\alpha-2)} |\chi u|^p \, dx &\le \mathrm{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda \chi u - L_t(\chi u)) |x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)} \chi^{p-1} \overline{u} |u|^{p-2} \, dx \\ &\le \| |x|^{\frac{p\theta-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)} \chi u \|^{p-1} \| \lambda \chi u - L_t(\chi u) \| \\ &\le 2^{\frac{(1-p\theta)|\alpha-2|}{p-1}} \|u\|_p^{p-1} (\|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p + C_1 \|u\|_p + C_2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathrm{supp}\nabla\chi)}). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We note that $\mathrm{supp}\nabla\chi \subset \overline{B_2} \setminus B_{1/2}$, that first and second order coefficients of L_t are independent of t and that the zero-order coefficients of L_t are uniformly bounded with respect to t in the annulus $D_4 = B_4 \setminus B_{1/4}$. Therefore the interior gradient estimates

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_2 \setminus B_{1/2})} \le C_3 (\|L_t u\|_{L^p(D_4)} + \|u\|_{L^p(D_4)}) \le C_3 (\|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p + (1 + |\lambda|)\|u\|_p)$$

hold with C independent of $t > 0$. Using these estimates, (38) and (32) we obtain for $\lambda \in C_+$, $|\lambda| \ge 1$

$$\begin{cases} \| |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} u \|_{L^p(B_1)} \le C_4 \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p. & \text{if } \alpha < 2, \\ \| |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} u \|_{L^p(B_1^c)} \le C_4 \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p. & \text{if } \alpha > 2 \end{cases}$$

wih C_4 independent of λ, t. Combining the above estimate with (32) we obtain

$$\| |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} u \|_p \le C_5 \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_p \tag{41}$$

for $\lambda \in C_+$, $|\lambda| \ge 1$ and C_5 independent of λ, t. Finally, applying (41) with $\lambda = e^{i\omega}$ to $u(x) = v(sx)$ we get

$$\| |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} u \|_p \le C_5 s^{(1-\theta)(2-\alpha)} \| s^{\alpha-2} e^{i\omega} u - L_{ts^{\alpha-2}} u \|_p$$

or, with $\eta = s^{\alpha-2} e^{i\omega}$ and $\tau = t s^{\alpha-2}$,

$$\| |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)} (\eta - L_\tau)^{-1} \| \le \frac{C_5}{|\eta|^{1-\theta}}.$$

□

We are now in a position to state and proof the main result of this section, that is the "if " part of Theorem 1.1. We recall that I is the interval of all $\theta \in [0,1]$ such that (31) is satisfied. For every $\theta \in I$ we set $\alpha' = \alpha'(\theta) = \theta(\alpha-2)+2$ and define

$$D_{reg}(L) = \begin{cases} \Big\{u \in D_{max}(L)\,;\, |x|^{\alpha'}D^2u, |x|^{\alpha'-1}\nabla u, |x|^{\alpha'-2}u \in L^p(B) \ \text{ for every } \theta \in I \\ \qquad\qquad |x|^{\alpha}D^2u, |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u \in L^p(B^c)\Big\} \quad \text{if } \alpha < 2; \\ \Big\{u \in D_{max}(L)\,;\, |x|^{\alpha'}D^2u, |x|^{\alpha'-1}\nabla u, |x|^{\alpha'-2}u \in L^p(B^c) \text{ for every } \theta \in I \\ \qquad\qquad |x|^{\alpha}D^2u, |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u \in L^p(B)\Big\} \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha > 2. \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

where $B = B_1$. Note that the maximum of regularity is achieved when $1 \in I$, that is when Theorem 4.4 applies.

Theorem 5.4 *If* (29) *is satisfied, then L_{int} generates a positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is coherent with respect to all p satisfying* (29)*. Moreover, $D_{int}(L)$ defined in (30) coincides with $D_{reg}(L)$ defined above.*

Proof. Fix λ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ and recall that, by Lemma 5.2, $\lambda - L_{int}$ is injective. To show the surjectivity we fix $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and define $u_n = (\lambda - L_n)^{-1}f \in D_{p,\alpha}$. By Lemma 5.3 $|\lambda|\|u_n\|_p \le C\|f\|_p$ and $|\lambda|^{\theta-1}\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u_n\|_p \le C\|f\|_p$ with C independent of λ, n. Note that the operators L_n differ only for the zero-order coefficients which are uniformly bounded on every compact subset of Ω. By local elliptic regularity, the sequence (u_n) is therefore bounded in $W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ and, passing a subsequence, we may assume that $(u_n) \to u$ weakly in $W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ and pointwise. Then $\lambda u - Lu = f$ and $|\lambda|\|u\|_p \le C\|f\|_p$. Moreover $|\lambda|^{\theta-1}\||x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u\|_p \le C\|f\|_p$, hence $u \in D_{int}(L)$. Note that the injectivity of $\lambda - L_{int}$ actually implies that the whole sequence (u_n) converges to u, that is $(\lambda - L_n)^{-1}f \to (\lambda - L_{int})^{-1}f$. If $\lambda > 0$, $f \ge 0$, then $u_n \ge 0$ by Lemma 5.2 hence $u \ge 0$. Moreover, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the solution u is independent of p, q since so are the u_n, by Lemma 5.2, again.
Finally we prove the equality $D_{int}(L) = D_{reg}(L)$ and focus, as usual, on the case $\alpha < 2$, the other being similar. The inclusion $D_{reg}(L) \subset D_{int}(L)$ is obvious. Let now $u \in D_{int}(L)$ and write $u = u_1 + u_2$ where $u_1 = u\phi$, $u_2 = u(1-\phi)$ and $\phi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with support in B_2 and equal to 1 in B_1. We introduce the operator L_2 on $\mathbb{R}^N$ in this way: the coefficients of L_2 coincide with those of L in B_1^c whereas in B_1 they take the (constant) value that they have on ∂B_1. L_2 is therefore uniformly elliptic with Lipschitz coefficients in B_1 and satisfies Hypothesis 2.1 of [7]. By construction the function u_2 belongs to the maximal domain of L_2 and, by [7, Proposition 2.9], $|x|^{\alpha}D^2u_2, |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u_2 \in L^p(B^c)$, that is $|x|^{\alpha}D^2u, |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u \in L^p(B^c)$. To treat u_1 we consider the operator $L_1 = |x|^{\alpha'-\alpha}L$. Since $\alpha < 2$ then $\alpha' \ge \alpha$ and then $u_1 \in D_{max}(L_1)$ and, by the definition of L_{int}, $|x|^{\alpha'-2}u_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Lemma 2.5, $u_1 \in D_{p,\alpha'}$. It follows that $|x|^{\alpha'}D^2u_1, |x|^{\alpha'-1}\nabla u_1, |x|^{\alpha'-2}u_1 \in L^p(B)$, hence the same holds for u. □

We observe that $L_{int} = L_{min}$ if the conditions of Theorem 4.4 are satisfied and $L_{int} = L_{max}$ if the conditions of Theorem 4.6 hold. In both cases the equality $D_{int}(L) = D_{reg}(L)$ yields a better description of $D_{min}(L)$ and $D_{max}(L)$, respectively.

5.2 Some consequences

In the next proposition we show that L_{min} is a generator when N/p coincides with one of the endpoints of the interval $(s_1 + 2 - \alpha, s_2 + 2 - \alpha)$ of Theorem 4.4.

Proposition 5.5 *Let $\alpha < 2$ and $N/p = s_1 + 2 - \alpha$ or $\alpha > 2$ and $N/p = s_2 + 2 - \alpha$. Then L_{int} coincides with L_{min}.*

Proof. We only treat the case $\alpha < 2$ and we first show that $\overline{Rg(I - L_{min})} = L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let $v \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and suppose that for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \overline{v}(\varphi - L\varphi)\, dx = 0. \tag{43}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $b + \varepsilon + (N/p + \alpha - 2)(N/p' - \alpha + c) = \varepsilon$ it follows from Theorem 4.2 that the minimal realization of $L - \varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2}$ generates analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and its domain is $D_{p,\alpha}$. Hence (43) holds for in $D_{p,\alpha}$ and we deduce from the invertibility of $I - L + \varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2}$ that for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \overline{v} f\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \overline{v}\left(\varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2}(I - L + \varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2})^{-1} f\right)\, dx.$$

Choosing $f = |v|^{p'-2}v$ and setting $w_\varepsilon = (I - L + \varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2})^{-1} f$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{p'}\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \overline{v}\left(\varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2} w_\varepsilon\right)\, dx. \tag{44}$$

Observe that Lemma 4.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{p'}} w_\varepsilon \right\|_p^p + \varepsilon \left\| |x|^{\alpha-2} w_\varepsilon \right\|_p^p &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{(p-1)(\alpha-2)} \overline{w_\varepsilon} |w_\varepsilon|^{p-2} (I - L + \varepsilon|x|^\alpha) w_\varepsilon\, dx \\ &\le \|f\|_p \left\| |x|^{\alpha-2} w_\varepsilon \right\|_p^{p-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\left\| \varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2} w_\varepsilon \right\|_p \le \|f\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left(\varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} w_\varepsilon \right\|_p \le \|f\|_p.$$

Using the above estimates, we obtain

$$\varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2} w_\varepsilon \to 0 \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{45}$$

In fact, for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2} w_\varepsilon\right) \phi\, dx \right| = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} w_\varepsilon |x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{p}} \phi\, dx \right| \le \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p \left\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{p}} \phi \right\|_{p'} \to 0$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Since $\{\varepsilon|x|^{\alpha-2} w_\varepsilon\}$ is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, a density argument implies (45). Consequently, combining (45) with (44), we obtain $v = 0$. This means that $\overline{Rg(I - L_{min})} = L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
Finally, we prove $L_{int} = L_{min}$. The inclusion $L_{int} \supset L_{min}$ is obvious. Conversely, let $u \in D_{int}(L)$. Since $\overline{Rg(I - L_{min})} = L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can choose $u_n \in D_{min}(L) \subset D_{int}(L)$ such that $(I - L_{min})u_n \to (I - L_{int})u$. Since $I - L_{int}$ is invertible we have

$$\|u_n - u\|_p \le C\|(I - L_{int})(u_n - u)\|_p \to 0$$

and the closedness of L_{min} implies $u \in D(L_{min})$. □

Remark 5.6 *The equality* $\overline{Rg(I-L_{min})} = L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is true even when* $\alpha < 2$ *and* $N/p = s_2 + 2 - \alpha$ *or* $\alpha > 2$ *and* $N/p = s_1 + 2 - \alpha$*, by the same proof as above. However, in these cases, the injectivity of* $I - L_{min}$ *breaks down, see Proposition 4.9.*

By duality one obtains a similar result for L_{max}, see Remark 4.7.

Proposition 5.7 *Let* $\alpha < 2$ *and* $N/p = s_2$ *or* $\alpha > 2$ *and* $N/p = s_1 + 2 - \alpha$*. Then* L_{int} *coincides with* L_{max}*.*

We end this section with some remarks on L_{int}. We fix $\theta \in I$, that is satisfying (31), and define L_θ through the domain

$$D_\theta(L) = \{u \in D_{max}(L) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\ ;\ |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Clearly $L_{int} \subset L_\theta$. However, since $I - L_{int}$ is invertible and $I - L_\theta$ is injective, by Lemma 5.1 (whose proof works for any fixed θ), then both operator coincide and $L_{int} = L_\theta$. This means that the extra integrability condition $|x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u \in D_{max}(L)$, for a fixed $\theta \in I$ extends automatically to every $\theta \in I$.
In the next proposition we show that, unless $1 \in I$, this integrability condition does not hold for $\theta_0 = \sup I$. Note that $1 \in I$ is equivalent to say that Theorem 4.4 applies and is more restrictive than requiring that L_{min} generates. Note also that θ_0 can be equal to 1 even though $1 \notin I$.

Proposition 5.8 *Assume that (29) holds and that* $1 \notin I$*, Set* $\theta_0 = \sup I$ *and* $\alpha_0' = \theta_0(\alpha - 2) + 2$*. Then there exists* $u \in D_{int}(L)$ *such that* $|x|^{\alpha_0'-2}u \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$*.*

Proof. We give a proof only $\alpha < 2$. In this case (29) reads $s_1 < N/p < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$. Since $1 \notin I$, then $f(N/p + \theta_0(\alpha - 2)) = 0$ and then $s_1 = N/p + \theta_0(\alpha - 2)$. We set $u(x) = |x|^{-s_1}\zeta(x)$, where $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is one in the unit ball B_1 and zero outside the ball B_2. Then for every $\theta \in [0, \theta_0)$, we have

$$|x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)}u = |x|^{\theta(\alpha-2)-s_1}\zeta(x) = |x|^{-\frac{N}{p}+(\theta_0-\theta)(2-\alpha)}\zeta(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Since $L|x|^{-s_1} = 0$ then $Lu \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and therefore $u \in D_{int}(L)$. However $|x|^{\alpha_0'-2}u \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. □

Finally let us show that for $\lambda > 0$, $f \geq 0$, $(\lambda - L_{int})^{-1}f$ is the minimal among the positive solutions $u \in D_{max}(L)$ of the equation $\lambda u - Lu = f$. This characterizes the generated semigroup as the minimal one and is important when L_{int} differs both from L_{min} and L_{max}. First prove a maximum principle for the operator L restricted to the annulus Ω_ε. Note that the classical maximum principle does not hold when $b < 0$.

Lemma 5.9 *Let* $\lambda > 0$*,* $g \leq 0$ *and let* $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ *solve* $\lambda u - Lu = g$ *in* Ω_ε *with* $u \leq 0$ *at the boundary. Then* $u \leq 0$ *in* Ω_ε*.*

Proof. Let θ be such that $f\left(\frac{N}{p} + \theta(\alpha - 2)\right) > 0$. We multiply the equation $\lambda u - Lu = g$ by $|x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)}(u^+)^{p-1}$ and integrate over Ω_ε. We proceed as in Proposition 4.2 whith α replaced by $\beta = \alpha + (p\theta - 1)(\alpha - 2)$ and observe that, since $u \leq 0$ on the boundary, no boundary terms appear after integration by parts. Setting $v = |x|^{\frac{N-2+\beta}{p}}u$ we obtain the analogous of (24)

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)}(u^+)^p + (p-1)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{2-N}|\nabla v^+|^2(v^+)^{p-2} + f\left(\frac{N}{p} + \theta(\alpha-2)\right)\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{-N}(v^+)^p$$
$$= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)}g(u^+)^{p-1} \leq 0.$$

It follows that $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x|^{(p\theta-1)(\alpha-2)}(u^+)^p \leq 0$ and therefore $u^+ = 0$ in Ω_ε. □

Proposition 5.10 *Let $\lambda > 0$, $f \geq 0$ and let $0 \leq u \in D_{max}(L)$ satisfy $\lambda u - Lu = f$. Then $(\lambda - L_{int})^{-1} f \leq u$.*

Proof Let $u_\varepsilon \in W^{2,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ be such that $\lambda u_\varepsilon - L u_\varepsilon = f$ in Ω_ε. Then $u_\varepsilon \geq 0$, $\lambda(u_\varepsilon - u) - L(u_\varepsilon - u) = 0$ and $u_\varepsilon - u \leq 0$ at the boundary. By Lemma 5.9, $u_\varepsilon \leq u$ in Ω_ε. If $v = (\lambda - L_{int})^{-1} f$ the same argument shows that $u_\varepsilon \leq v$ in Ω_ε. Moreover, if $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, then $u_{\varepsilon_2} \leq u_{\varepsilon_1}$ in Ω_{ε_2}, by the above lemma again. Then (u_ε) converges pointwise as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to some $u_0 \leq v$. By dominated convergence $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and, by elliptic interior estimates, also in $W^{2,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $\lambda u_0 - L u_0 = f$ and $u_0 \in D_{max}(L)$. Since $0 \leq u_0 \leq v$, then $u_0 \in D_{int}(L)$, hence $u_0 = v$, by uniqueness. Since $u_\varepsilon \leq u$, letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, it follows that $v \leq u$. □

6 The critical case: $b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 = 0$

We always assume $\alpha \neq 2$ and show that a suitable realization of $L_{min} \subset L \subset L_{max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if

$$s_1 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\} \leq \frac{N}{p} \leq s_2 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\}. \tag{46}$$

Note that the endpoints above are included, whereas they are excluded in (29). In this case the function f defined in (3) is negative except for $s = s_0 = (N-2+c)/2$ where it vanishes and both s_1 and s_2 coincide with s_0. We first consider the case $\alpha < 2$ and we give full proofs following the method of Section 5, but adding logarithmic weights in the resolvent estimates. Moreover, we consider the operator first in the unit ball B_1 and then we use a gluing procedure to treat the case of the whole space. The case $\alpha > 2$ will be shortly considered in Subsection 6.2.

6.1 Positive results for $\alpha < 2$

We always assume $\alpha < 2$ in this subsection and fix $\theta_0 \in [0,1]$ such that $\frac{N}{p} = s_0 + \theta_0(2-\alpha)$, $s_0 = \frac{N-2+c}{2}$.

Theorem 6.1 *Assume that*

$$s_0 \leq \frac{N}{p} \leq s_0 + 2 - \alpha \tag{47}$$

and define L_{int} through the domain

$$D_{int}(L) = \{u \in D_{max}(L) \,;\, |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \in L^p(B_{1/2})\}. \tag{48}$$

Then L_{int} generates a positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is coherent with respect to all p satisfying (47).

For technical reasons we need also the the operator L in the unit ball B_1 (with Dirichlet boundary conditions) defined on the domain

$$\begin{aligned} D^1_{int}(L) = &\{u \in L^p(B_1) \cap W^{2,p}(B_1 \setminus B_\varepsilon) \ \forall\ 0 < \varepsilon < 1, Lu \in L^p(B_1); \\ &|x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \in L^p(B_{1/2}),\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_1\}, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where θ is as before. We denote this operator by L^1_{int}. Most computations will be performed on the set

$$D_1 := \{u \in C_c^\infty(\overline{B}_1 \setminus \{0\}) :\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_1\}.$$

In the next proposition we prove the injectivity of $\lambda - L_{int}$ and $\lambda - L^1_{int}$ for positive λ.

Proposition 6.2 *The operators $\lambda - L_{int}$ and $\lambda - L^1_{int}$ are injective for $\lambda > 0$.*

PROOF. We start with L_{int}. We denote by $\Delta_{S^{N-1}}$ the Laplace Beltrami on the unit sphere S^{N-1}. If Q is a spherical harmonic of order $n \geq 0$, then $-\Delta_{S^{N-1}} Q = \lambda_n Q$ with $\lambda_n = n(n+N-2)$. If $v \in N(\lambda - L_{int})$ we set

$$v_Q(r) = \int_{S^{N-1}} v(r,\omega) Q(\omega)\, d\omega,$$

where Q is a spherical harmonic of order n. Then

$$r^{ps_0-1} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-2} |v_Q(r)|^p \in L^1(0,1/2). \tag{50}$$

Observe that

$$v_Q'' + \frac{N-1}{r} v_Q' = \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(v_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r} v_r \right) Q(\omega)\, d\omega = \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\Delta v - \frac{\Delta_{S^{N-1}} v}{r^2} \right) Q\, d\omega$$
$$\int_{S^{N-1}} \left(Q\Delta v - v \frac{\Delta_{S^{N-1}} Q}{r^2} \right) d\omega = \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\Delta v + \frac{\lambda_n v}{r^2} \right) Q\, d\omega.$$

This implies that v_Q satisfies

$$\lambda v_Q - r^\alpha \left(v_Q'' + (N-1+c) \frac{v_Q'}{r} - (b+\lambda_n) \frac{v_Q}{r^2} \right) = 0.$$

We use Lemma 2.6 to show that $v_Q = 0$. The integrabilty of v_Q at $r = \infty$ and(13) imply that $v_Q = c u_2$. If $n > 0$, by (14) and (50) we see that $c = 0$, that is $v_Q = 0$. If $n = 0$, u_2 behaves like $r^{-s_0} \log r$ near 0, see (15), and hence (50) is not satisfied unless $v_Q = 0$. The density of spherical harmonics in $L^{p'}(S^{N-1})$ yields $v(r,\cdot) = 0$ for every r, hence $v = 0$ and this concludes the proof for L_{int}. In the case of L^1_{int} the proof is similar: if $v_Q \not\equiv 0$ then $v_Q = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2$ with $c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$ since $v_Q(1) = 0$ and u_1, u_2 are positive. Hence v_Q behaves like u_2 near 0 and (50) is not satisfied. □

The following weighted estimates will be crucial in what follows.

Proposition 6.3 *For every $v \in D_1$*

$$Re \int_{B_1} |x|^{2-N} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(\overline{v}|v|^{p-2})\, dx \geq \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_{B_1} |x|^{-N} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-2} |v|^p\, dx. \tag{51}$$

In particular, if $u \in D_1$, and $v = |x|^{\frac{N-2+c}{2}} u$, then

$$Re \int_{B_1} (-Lu)|x|^{(p\theta_0-1)(\alpha-2)} \overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\, dx \geq \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_{B_1} |x|^{p\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-2} |u|^p\, dx. \tag{52}$$

Noting that $r^\varepsilon |\log r| \leq (\varepsilon e)^{-1}$ if $r \in (0,1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain from (52)

Lemma 6.4 *For every $\delta > 0, \theta_0 > 0$ and $u \in D_1$,*

$$Re \int_{B_1} (-Lu)|x|^{(p\theta_0-1)(\alpha-2)} \overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\, dx \geq \frac{(p-1)(2-\alpha)^2 e^2 \delta^2}{4} \int_{B_1} |x|^{p(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)} |u|^p\, dx. \tag{53}$$

PROOF. If $v \in D_1$ integrating by parts we obtain

$$\int_{B_1} |x|^{2-N} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(\overline{v}|v|^{p-2})\, dx = -\int_{B_1} |x|^{-N}(|x|^2 \Delta v + (2-N)x \cdot \nabla v)\overline{v}|v|^{p-2}\, dx.$$

Observe that in spherical coordinates

$$|x|^2 \Delta v + (2-N)x \cdot \nabla v = r^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + r\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \Delta_{S^{N-1}} v,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_1} |x|^{2-N} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(\overline{v}|v|^{p-2})\rangle\, dx = -\int_{B_1} r^{-N} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + r\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \Delta_{S^{N-1}} v \right) \overline{v}|v|^{p-2}\, dx \\
&= -\int_{S^{N-1}} \int_0^1 \left(r^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + r\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) \overline{v}|v|^{p-2}\, \frac{dr}{r}\, d\omega + \int_0^1 \left(\int_{S^{N-1}} (-\Delta_{S^{N-1}} v)\overline{v}|v|^{p-2}\, d\omega \right) \frac{dr}{r}. \qquad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_{S^{N-1}}$ is dissipative in $L^p(S^{N-1})$ we see that for every $r > 0$

$$Re \int_{S^{N-1}} (-\Delta_{S^{N-1}} v)\overline{v}|v|^{p-2}\, d\omega \geq 0. \qquad (55)$$

On the other hand, fix $\omega \in S^{N-1}$ and set $w(s) = v(e^s, \omega)$. Then

$$\int_0^1 \left(r^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2}(r,\omega) + r\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(r,\omega) \right) \overline{v(r,\omega)}|v(r,\omega)|^{p-2}\, \frac{dr}{r} = \int_{-\infty}^0 (-w'')\, \overline{w}|w|^{p-2}\, ds.$$

Using Hardy's inequality we have

$$Re \int_{-\infty}^0 (-w'')\, \overline{w}|w|^{p-2}\, ds \geq \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 s^{-2}|w|^p\, ds = \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_0^1 |\log r|^{-2}|v(r,\omega)|^p\, \frac{dr}{r}.$$

Therefore we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&- Re \int_{S^{N-1}} \int_0^1 \left(r^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + r\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) \overline{v}|v|^{p-2}\, \frac{dr}{r}\, d\omega \geq \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_{S^{N-1}} \int_0^1 |\log r|^{-2}|v(r\omega)|^p\, \frac{dr}{r}\, d\omega \\
&= \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_{B_1} |x|^{-N} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-2}|v|^p\, dx. \qquad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (55) and (56) with (54), we obtain (51). To prove (52), we consider the operator $x^\gamma L$ with $\gamma = (p\theta_0 - 1)(\alpha - 2)$. Then $(N - 2 + \alpha + \gamma) = (N - 2 + c)/2 = s_0$ and Proposition 4.2 applies. In particular, since $f(s_0) = 0$, (24) with $v = |x|^{s_0} u$ yields

$$Re \int_{B_1} (-Lu)|x|^{(p\theta_0-1)(\alpha-2)}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2} = Re \int_{B_1} |x|^{2-N} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(\overline{v}|v|^{p-2})\, dx$$

and (52) follows from (51). □

To prove Theorem 6.1, as in Section 5, we introduce the operator

$$L_t u := Lu - k|x|^{\alpha-2} + k \min\{|x|^{\alpha-2}, t\},$$

defined in $D_{p,\alpha}$, see (9), and, in order to consider separately the singularities at infinity and near the origin, we introduce also the operators L^1_t and L^2_t in B_1 and $B^c_{1/2}$ defined as L_t on the domains

$$D^1_{p,\alpha} = \{u \in L^p(B_1) \cap W^{2,p}(B_1 \setminus B_\varepsilon) \ \forall\ 0 < \varepsilon < 1,\ |x|^\alpha D^2 u,\ |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u,\ |x|^{\alpha-2}u \in L^p(B_1)\} \quad (57)$$

and

$$D^2_{p,\alpha} = \{u \in W^{2,p}(B^c_{1/2},\ |x|^\alpha D^2 u,\ |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u, \in L^p(B^c_{1/2})\}, \quad (58)$$

respectively. Here k is a fixed constant large enough, $t > 0$ is a parameter and both the operators are endowed with Dirichlet boundary conditions. As in Theorem 4.4 or Proposition 4.2 we have, since k is large, the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5 *For every $t > 0$, L^1_t generates an analytic semigroups on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, D_1 is a core for L^1_t.*

Now we state a-priori estimates for L^1_t. Due to the presence of the logarithmic term, we cannot directly prove that (59) holds with $\gamma = 1$, as in Lemma 5.3.

Proposition 6.6 *For every $\gamma \in (0,1)$ there are constants $C_\gamma, C' > 0$ such that for every $t > 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $u \in D^1_{p,\alpha}$*

$$\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \le \frac{C_\gamma}{|\lambda|^\gamma}\|\lambda u - L^1_t u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \quad (59)$$

and

$$\left\||x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\left|\log|x|\right|^{-\frac{2}{p}}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)} \le C'\|\lambda u - L^1_t u\|_{L^p(B_1)}. \quad (60)$$

If $\theta_0 = \frac{1}{p}$, then $\gamma = 1$ is allowed.

Proof. We proceed as in Lemma 5.3, in B_1 rather than $\mathbb{R}^N$, and consider first the case when $\theta_0 \ge \frac{1}{p}$. By density we may assume that $u \in D_1$. The estimate

$$|\lambda|\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)}u\right\|^p_{L^p(B_1)} \le C\|\lambda u - L^1_t u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\left\||x|^{\frac{p\theta_0-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)}u\right\|^{p-1}_{L^p(B_1)}. \quad (61)$$

is identical to (35) and obtained as in Lemma 5.3, recalling that Proposition 4.2 holds in the critical case. Observe that if $\theta_0 = \frac{1}{p}$ (or $\frac{N-2+\alpha}{p} = \frac{N-2+c}{2}$) the above inequality gives (59) with $\gamma = 1$. If $\theta_0 > \frac{1}{p}$ we note that

$$0 < \theta_0 - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{p\theta_0 - 1}{p-1} = \theta_0 - \frac{1-\theta_0}{p-1}.$$

We choose $\delta \in]0, \frac{1-\theta_0}{p-1}]$ and we see from Lemma 2.7

$$\left\||x|^{\frac{p\theta_0-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)} \le \left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|^\tau_{L^p(B_1)}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)}u\right\|^{1-\tau}_{L^p(B_1)},$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{p\theta_0 - 1}{(p-1)(1-p\delta)}.$$

Using the above inequality in (61) we have

$$|\lambda|\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)}u\right\|^{1+(p-1)\tau}_{L^p(B_1)} \le C\|\lambda u - L^1_t u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|^{(p-1)\tau}_{L^p(B_1)}. \quad (62)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6.4 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(p-1)(\alpha-2)^2e^2\delta^2}{4}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p\\
&\le \|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\left\||x|^{\frac{p\theta_0-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{p-1}\\
&\le \|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{(p-1)\tau}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{(p-1)(1-\tau)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous estimate with (62), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(p-1)(\alpha-2)^2e^2\delta^2}{4}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{p-(p-1)\tau}\\
&\le \|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\frac{1}{p})(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{(p-1)(1-\tau)}\\
&\le \|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\left(\frac{C}{|\lambda|}\|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{(p-1)\tau}\right)^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\tau)}{1+(p-1)\tau}}.\\
&= \|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{\frac{p}{1+(p-1)\tau}}\left(\frac{C}{|\lambda|}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{(p-1)\tau}\right)^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\tau)}{1+(p-1)\tau}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}\le\left(\frac{4}{(p-1)(\alpha-2)^2e^2\delta^2}\right)^{\frac{1+(p-1)\tau}{p}}\left(\frac{C}{|\lambda|}\right)^{\frac{(p-1)(1-\tau)}{p}}\|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}. \tag{63}$$

Next, we prove (59). By Proposition 4.2, we have

$$Re\left[e^{i\omega}\int_{B_1}(-L_t^1u+k|x|^{\alpha-2}u)\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\,dx\right]\ge 0,\quad \omega\in[-\frac{\pi}{2}+\omega_0,\frac{\pi}{2}-\omega_0],$$

for some $\omega_0\in(0,\frac{\pi}{2})$. Thus we see that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p\le\frac{1}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_0}\|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{p-1}+\frac{k}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_0}\left\||x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{p}}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p.$$

Fix $\delta<\theta_0-\frac{1}{p}$. Then $p(\theta_0-\delta)>1$ and Hölder's inequality yields

$$\left\||x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{p}}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}\le\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{1-\frac{1}{p(\theta_0-\delta)}}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{p(\theta_0-\delta)}}.$$

Hence using Young's inequality and (63) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_0-\delta}}&\le\frac{1}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_0}\|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_0-\delta}-1}+\frac{k}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_0}\left\||x|^{(\theta_0-\delta)(\alpha-2)}u\right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_0-\delta}}\\
&\le(\theta_0-\delta)\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|\cos\omega_0}\|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_0-\delta}}+(1-\theta_0+\delta)\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_0-\delta}}\\
&\quad+\frac{kC_\delta^{\frac{1}{\theta_0-\delta}}}{\cos\omega_0}|\lambda|^{-1-\frac{(p-1)(1-\tau)}{p(\theta_0-\delta)}}\|\lambda u-L_t^1u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{\frac{1}{\theta_0-\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, setting $\gamma(\delta) := \theta_0 - \delta + \frac{(p-1)(1-\tau)}{p}$, we have (59)

$$\|u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \le \left(\frac{C'_\delta}{|\lambda|} + \frac{C''_\delta}{|\lambda|^{\gamma(\delta)}} \right) \|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_{L^p(B_1)}$$

and we note that $\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \gamma(\delta) = 1$. Next, we prove (60). By Lemma 6.3, since $L_t^1 = L - V_t$ with $V_t \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p-1}{p^2} \left\| |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p &\le Re \int_{B_1} (\lambda u - L_t^1 u) |x|^{(p\theta_0-1)(\alpha-2)} \overline{u} |u|^{p-2} \, dx \\ &\le \|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \left\| |x|^{\frac{p\theta_0-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From estimate (63) with $\delta = \frac{1-\theta_0}{p-1}$, hence $\tau = 1$, we obtain

$$\left\| |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p \le C \|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p.$$

Finally, we consider the case $0 \le \theta_0 < \frac{1}{p}$ by using the dual operator $(L_t^1)^*$ in $L^{p'}(B_1)$ and proceeding as in Lemma 5.3. One verifies that $(L_t^1)^*$ satisfies $\frac{N-2+\tilde{c}}{2} = \frac{N}{p'} + \tilde{\theta}(\alpha-2)$ with $\tilde{\theta} 1 - \theta > \frac{1}{p'}$. Therefore applying (59) to $(L_t^1)^*$ in $L^{p'}(B_1)$, we have $\|(\lambda - (L_t^1)^*)^{-1}\| \le C(|\lambda|^{-1} + |\lambda|^{-\gamma})$ and by duality we obtain (59) for L_t^1 in $L^p(B_1)$. Moreover, since $(p\theta_0 - 1)(\alpha - 2) \ge 0$, Lemma 6.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p-1}{p^2} \left\| |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p &\le \|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \left\| |x|^{\frac{p\theta_0-1}{p-1}(\alpha-2)} u \right\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{p-1} \\ &\le \|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_{L^p(B_1)} \|u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^{p-1} \\ &\le C \|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_{L^p(B_1)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Next we prove a-priori estimates for L_t, for large t, by gluing the resolvents of L_t^1 and L_t^2.

Proposition 6.7 *For every $\gamma \in (0,1)$, there are constants $\tau, \rho, C_\gamma, C' > 0$ such that if $t \ge \tau$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $|\lambda| \ge \rho$, and $u \in D_{p,\alpha}$*

$$\|u\|_{L^p} \le \frac{C_\gamma}{|\lambda|^\gamma} \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_{L^p}. \tag{64}$$

and

$$\left\| |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \right\|_{L^p(B_{1/2})} \le C' \|\lambda u - L_t u\|_{L^p}. \tag{65}$$

Proof. Observe that if $t \ge 2^{2-\alpha}$ then L_t^2 coincides with L in $B_{1/2}^c$. Since $\alpha < 2$, the function $a(x) = |x|^\alpha$ satisfies the inequality $|\nabla a^{1/2}| \le C$ in $B_{1/2}^c$, therefore, by [7], the operator L_t^2 generates an analytic semigroup and the resolvent estimate

$$\|(\lambda - L_t^2)^{-1}\|_p \le \frac{C}{|\lambda|} \tag{66}$$

holds for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $|\lambda| \ge \rho$. By virtue of [17, Section 5] we can represent the resolvent of L_t by gluing together the resolvents of L_t^1 and L_t^2. In order to do this we need gradient estimates for L_t^1

and L_t^2 in an annulus $\Sigma_{r_1,r_2} = B_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1} \subset B_1 \setminus B_{1/2}$. We fix $\frac{1}{2} < s_1 < r_1 < r_2 < s_2 < 1$ and we use the classical interior estimates for uniformly elliptic operators. Since the coefficients of L_t^1 are uniformly bounded with respect to t in the annulus Σ_{s_1,s_2}, there exists $C > 0$, independent of $t > 0$ such that for every $u \in D^1_{p,\alpha}$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{p,\Sigma_{r_1,r_2}} \leq C\left(\varepsilon\|L_t^1 u\|_{p,\Sigma_{s_1,s_2}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{p,\Sigma_{r_1,r_2}}\right).$$

Using (59) it follows that, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u\|_{p,\Sigma_{r_1,r_2}} &\leq C\left(\varepsilon\|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_{p,\Sigma_{s_1,s_2}} + \varepsilon\|\lambda u\|_{p\Sigma_{s_1,s_2}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{p,\Sigma_{s_1,s_2}}\right)\\ &\leq C\left(\varepsilon\|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_p + \varepsilon|\lambda|^{1-\gamma}\|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|\lambda|^{-\gamma}\|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_p\right).\end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\varepsilon = |\lambda|^{-\delta}$ with $1 - \gamma < \delta < \gamma$, we get for $r = \min\{-\delta, \delta - \gamma, 1 - \delta - \gamma\} < 0$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{p,\Sigma_{r_1,r_2}} \leq C|\lambda|^r\|\lambda u - L_t^1 u\|_p.$$

In a similar way one proves gradient estimates for L_t^2. Following the method of [17, Section 5] one constructs an approximate resolvent $R(\lambda)$ for L_t,

$$R(\lambda) = \eta_1(\lambda - L_t^1)^{-1}\eta_1 + \eta_2(\lambda - L_t^2)^{-1}\eta_2$$

where η_1, η_2 are smooth functions supported in B_{r_2}, $B^c_{r_1}$ respectively and such that $\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 = 1$. The operator $R(\lambda)$ satisfies $(\lambda - L_t)R(\lambda) = I + S(\lambda)$ and $\|S(\lambda)\| \leq 1/2$ for $|\lambda|$ large, because of the gradient estimates. Then, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $|\lambda|$ large, we have

$$(\lambda - L_t)^{-1} = R(\lambda)(I + S(\lambda))^{-1}$$

and hence (64) follows from (59) and (66). Estimate (65) follows similarly from (60), since η_2 vanishes near 0. □

Proof (Theorem 6.1). We consider the operator L_{int} defined in (49). For $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda - L_{int}$ is injective, by Proposition 6.2. As in the proof of Theorem 5.4 one sees that for $\lambda \geq \rho > 0$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $(\lambda - L_n)^{-1}f \to (\lambda - L_{int})^{-1}f$. By (64) it follows that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $|\lambda| \geq \rho$, $\lambda - L_{int}$ is invertible and, for every $\gamma < 1$

$$\|(\lambda - L_{int})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C_\gamma}{|\lambda|^\gamma}.$$

For $s > 0$ let $I_s : L^p \to L^p$ defined by $I_s u(x) = u(sx)$. Clearly I_s is invertible with inverse $I_{s^{-1}}$ and $\|I_s u\|_p = s^{-N/p}\|u\|_p$. Since $L = s^{2-\alpha} I_s L I_s^{-1}$, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $\lambda = r\omega$ with $|\omega| = \rho$ (hence ω belongs to the resolvent set) then the equality

$$\lambda - L_{int} = I_s r\left(\omega - \frac{s^{2-\alpha}L_{int}}{r}\right)I_s^{-1}$$

with $s = r^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}$ shows that $\mathbb{C}_+$ is in the resolvent set and yields the decay

$$\|(\lambda - L_{int})^{-1}\|_p \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda|}\max\{\|(\omega - L_{int})^{-1}\|_p : |\omega| = \rho, \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+\},$$

For $\lambda > 0$, positivity and coherence with respect to p of $(\lambda - L_{int})^{-1}$ follow since $(\lambda - L_{int})^{-1}f = \lim_{n\to\infty}(\lambda - L_n)^{-1}f$. □

6.2 Positive results for $\alpha > 2$

The generation result proved in the critical case for $\alpha < 2$ can be extended by using similar arguments to the case $\alpha > 2$. Recall that $s_0 = \frac{N-2+c}{2}$.

Theorem 6.8 *Assume that*

$$s_0 + 2 - \alpha \le \frac{N}{p} \le s_0 \tag{67}$$

and define L_{int} through the domain

$$D_{int}(L) = \{u \in D_{max}(L)\ ;\ |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \in L^p(B_2^c)\}, \tag{68}$$

where $\theta_0 \in [0,1]$ satisfies $\frac{N}{p} = s_0 + \theta_0(2-\alpha)$. Then L_{int} generates a positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is coherent with respect to all p satisfying (67).

We only state the main steps.

Proposition 6.9 *For $\lambda > 0$ the operator $\lambda - L_{int}$ is injective.*

Proposition 6.10 *Set*

$$\widetilde{D}_1 := \{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1)\ ;\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_1\}.$$

For every $v \in \widetilde{D}_1$

$$Re \int_{B_1^c} |x|^{2-N}(\langle \nabla v, \nabla(\overline{v}|v|^{p-2})\rangle\, dx \ge \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_{B_1^c} |x|^{-N}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-2}|v|^p\, dx. \tag{69}$$

In particular, if $u \in \widetilde{D}_1$, and $v = |x|^{\frac{N-2+c}{2}} u$, then

$$Re \int_{B_1^c} (-Lu)|x|^{(p\theta_0-1)(\alpha-2)}\overline{u}|u|^{p-2}\, dx \ge \frac{p-1}{p^2} \int_{B_1^c} |x|^{p\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-2}|u|^p\, dx. \tag{70}$$

Proposition 6.11 *For every $\gamma \in (0,1)$ there are constants $C_\gamma, C' > 0$ such that for every $t > 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $u \in \widetilde{D}_1$,*

$$\|u\|_{L^p(B_1^c)} \le \frac{C_\gamma}{|\lambda|^\gamma}\|\lambda u - L_t u\|_{L^p(B_1^c)} \tag{71}$$

and

$$\Big\||x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u\Big\|_{L^p(B_1^c)} \le C'\|\lambda u - L_t u\|_{L^p(B_1^c)}, \tag{72}$$

where $L_t = L - k|x|^{\alpha-2} + k\min\{t, |x|^{\alpha-2}\}$.

By using the propositions stated above, we deduce Theorem 6.8 arguing as for Theorem 6.1.

6.3 The equalities $L_{int} = L_{min}$ and $L_{int} = L_{max}$

Here we investigate when L_{int} coincides with L_{min} or L_{max}.

Proposition 6.12 *Assume $\alpha < 2$ and $s_0 \le \frac{N}{p} \le s_0 + 2 - \alpha$. Then*

(i) If $\frac{N}{p} = s_0$, then $L_{int} = L_{max}$;

(ii) If $\frac{N}{p} \neq s_0$, then $N(\lambda - L_{max}) \neq \{0\}$, hence $L_{int} \neq L_{max}$.

Proof. By the definition of L_{int}, see (48), (i) is obvious since $\theta_0 = 0$. We show (ii), that is, $N(\lambda - L_{max}) \neq \{0\}$. We use Lemma 2.6 with $k = \tilde{k} = 0$ and take $v(x) = u_2(|x|)$. Since $\frac{N}{p} > s_0$, we see from (15) that $v \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This means that $N(\lambda - L_{max}) \neq \{0\}$. □

By duality, the following proposition directly follows from Proposition 6.13.

Proposition 6.13 *Assume $\alpha < 2$ and $s_0 \le \frac{N}{p} \le s_0 + 2 - \alpha$. Then*

(i) If $\frac{N}{p} = s_0 + 2 - \alpha$, then $L_{int} = L_{min}$, that is, $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is a core for L_{int};

(ii) If $\frac{N}{p} \neq s_0 + 2 - \alpha$, then $\overline{R(\lambda - L_{min})} \neq L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, hence $L_{int} \neq L_{min}$.

The case $\alpha > 2$ is similar.

Proposition 6.14 *Assume $\alpha > 2$ and $s_0 + 2 - \alpha \le \frac{N}{p} \le s_0$. Then*

(i) If $\frac{N}{p} = s_0$, then $L_{int} = L_{max}$;

(ii) If $\frac{N}{p} \neq s_0$, then $N(\lambda - L_{max}) \neq \{0\}$, hence $L_{int} \neq L_{max}$.

Proposition 6.15 *Assume $\alpha > 2$ and $s_0 + 2 - \alpha \le \frac{N}{p} \le s_0$. Then*

(i) If $\frac{N}{p} = s_0 + 2 - \alpha$, then $L_{int} = L_{min}$, that is, $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is a core for L_{int};

(ii) If $\frac{N}{p} \neq s_0 + 2 - \alpha$, then $\overline{R(\lambda - L_{min})} \neq L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, hence $L_{int} \neq L_{min}$.

Integrability of first and second derivatives for $u \in D_{int}(L)$ can be established as in Theorem 5.4. For every $\theta < \theta_0$ we set $\alpha' = \alpha'(\theta) = \theta(\alpha - 2) + 2$ and define for $\theta_0 > 0$,

$$D_{reg}(L) = \begin{cases} \left\{ u \in D_{max}(L) \,;\, \begin{array}{l} |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u, \in L^p(B_{1/2}), \\ |x|^{\alpha'} D^2 u, |x|^{\alpha'-1} \nabla u \in L^p(B) \\ |x|^{\alpha} D^2 u, |x|^{\alpha-1} \nabla u \in L^p(B^c) \end{array} \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \theta_0), \right\} & \text{if } \alpha < 2; \\ \left\{ u \in D_{max}(L) \,;\, \begin{array}{l} |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u, \in L^p(B_2^c), \\ |x|^{\alpha'} D^2 u, |x|^{\alpha'-1} \nabla u \in L^p(B^c) \\ |x|^{\alpha} D^2 u, |x|^{\alpha-1} \nabla u \in L^p(B) \end{array} \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \theta_0), \right\} & \text{if } \alpha > 2, \end{cases} \tag{73}$$

where $B = B_1$.

Proposition 6.16 *If $\theta_0 > 0$, that is $N/p \neq s_0$, then the domains $D_{int}(L)$ and $D_{reg}(L)$ coincide.*

PROOF. Assume $\alpha < 2$ and let $u \in D_{int}(L)$. We write $u = u_1 + u_2$ where $u_1 = u\phi$, $u_2 = u(1-\phi)$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with support in B_2 and equal to 1 in B_1. We introduce the operator L_2 on $\mathbb{R}^N$ in this way: the coefficients of L_2 coincide with those of L in B_1^c whereas in B_1 they take the (constant) value that they have on ∂B_1. L_2 is therefore uniformly elliptic with Lipschitz coefficients in B_1 and satisfies Hypothesis 2.1 of [7]. By construction the function u_2 belongs to the maximal domain of L_2 and, by [7, Proposition 2.9], $|x|^\alpha D^2 u_2, |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u_2 \in L^p(B^c)$, that is $|x|^\alpha D^2 u, |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u \in L^p(B^c)$. To treat u_1 we consider the operator $L_1 = |x|^{\alpha'-\alpha}L$. Since $\alpha < 2$, then $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ and then $u_1 \in D_{max}(L_1)$. Since $\alpha' - 2 = \theta(\alpha-2) > \theta_0(\alpha-2)$, by the definition of L_{int}, $|x|^{\alpha'-2}u_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Lemma 2.5, $u_1 \in D_{p,\alpha'}$. It follows that $|x|^{\alpha'}D^2 u_1, |x|^{\alpha'-1}\nabla u_1, |x|^{\alpha'-2}u_1 \in L^p(B)$, hence the same holds for u. □

Remark 6.17 The case $\theta_0 = 0$ or $N/p = s_0$ is quite special and we recall that $L_{int} = L_{max}$. Integrability of first and second derivatives can be obtained directly using Proposition 2.3. If $\alpha < 2$ and $u \in D_{int}(L)$, then $|x|^2 D^2 u, |x|\nabla u \in L^p(B)$ and $|x|^\alpha D^2 u, |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u \in L^p(B^c)$ and conversely if $\alpha > 2$. To see this we proceed as in the proposition above splitting $u = u_1 + u_2$ and treating u_2 in the same way. Finally we note that $u_1 \in D_{max}(|x|^{2-\alpha}L)$ and then apply Proposition 2.3.

As in Section 5 one shows the minimality of $(\lambda - L_{int})^{-1}$, noting that the proof of Lemma 5.9 extends to the critical case, choosing θ_0 such that $N/p + \theta_0(\alpha-2) = s_0$.

Proposition 6.18 *Let $\lambda > 0$, $f \geq 0$ and let $0 \leq u \in D_{max}(L)$ satisfy $\lambda u - Lu = f$. Then $(\lambda - L_{int})^{-1} f \leq u$.*

6.4 Negative results

We show that if $\frac{N}{p}$ falls outside the closed interval (46), then no realization $L_{min} \subset L \subset L_{max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Theorem 6.19 *(i) If $\alpha < 2$ and $\frac{N}{p} > s_0 + 2 - \alpha$, or $\alpha > 2$ and $\frac{N}{p} < s_0 + 2 - \alpha$. Then $N(\lambda - L_{min}) \neq \{0\}$.*

(ii) If $\alpha < 2$ and $\frac{N}{p} < s_0$, or $\alpha > 2$ and $\frac{N}{p} > s_0$. Then $\overline{R(\lambda - L_{max})} \neq L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

PROOF. (i) We give a proof only for $\alpha < 2$. As in Proposition 4.8 we consider radial solutions of the equation

$$\lambda v - Lv = 0.$$

We use Lemma 2.6 with $k = 0$ and choose $v = u_2$ so that v satisfies (15). Since $s_0 + 2 - \alpha < \frac{N}{p}$ this implies that $v, |x|^{\alpha-2}v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and hence, by Lemma 2.5, we have $v \in D_{min}(L)$ and $\lambda v - Lv = 0$. The proof of (ii) follows from (i), by duality. □

7 Examples

In this section we specialize our results to particular operators.

Example 7.1 We consider Schrödinger operators with inverse square potential $L = \Delta - \frac{b}{|x|^2}$ (that is $\alpha = c = 0$) assuming $b + \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 > 0$. In this case

$$s_1 = \frac{N-2}{2} - \sqrt{b + \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2}, \qquad s_2 = \frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{b + \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2}.$$

Theorem 5.4 shows that L_{int} endowed with the domain (42) generates a positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if

$$s_1 < \frac{N}{p} < s_2 + 2 \quad \text{or} \quad \left|\frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{2}\right| < 1 + \sqrt{b + \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2}.$$

Observe that this improves the results in [2] and [4]. We point out that although generation results of analytic semigroup for p in the sharp range above have already been proved in [11, Section 4], the description of domain of the generator $D_{int}(L)$ seems to be new. Let us analyze it in more detail. By Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 5.5, if $s_1 + 2 \leq \frac{N}{p} < s_2 + 2$ then L_{int} coincides with L_{min}. In particular, if

$$s_1 + 2 < \frac{N}{p} < s_2 + 2 \quad \text{or} \quad \left|\frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{2} - 1\right| < \sqrt{b + \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2},$$

then the domain is given by

$$D_{p,\alpha} = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega),\ D^2u,\ |x|^{-1}\nabla u,\ |x|^{-2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

We remark that in this case the generation result for L_{min} is also stated in [24, Section 3]. If $s_1 < \frac{N}{p} \leq s_1 + 2$, then setting $\alpha_1' = s_1 - N/p + 2 \in [0, 2)$ we have from Theorem 5.4

$$D_{int}(L) = \Big\{u \in D_{max}(L) \cap W^{2,p}(B^c) : |x|^{\alpha'} D^2u, |x|^{\alpha'-1}\nabla u, |x|^{\alpha'-2}u \in L^p(B)\ \ \forall \alpha' > \alpha_1'\Big\}.$$

In Example 7.3 we show that, when $p = 2$, then L_{int} coincides with the Friedrich's extension of L_{min}.
When $N = 1$, L is the so called Calogero Hamiltonian and the above results are of L^p generalizations of the well-known properties of the Calogero operator in L^2. In fact. specializing to the case $N = 1$ (where we recall that $\mathbb{R}$ should be substituted by $[0, \infty[$) and $p = 2$, we obtain: if $b \geq \frac{3}{4}$, then $L_{\min}$ is nonnegative and selfadjoint and coincides with $L_{\max}$; if $-\frac{1}{4} \leq b < \frac{3}{4}$, then L_{min} and L_{max} are not selfadjoint but there exists a selfadjoint extension of L_{min}. The critical case $b = -\frac{1}{4}$ is explained in next example.

Example 7.2 Consider now the Schrödinger operators with inverse square potential $L = \Delta - \frac{b}{|x|^2}$ in the critical case $b + \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 = 0$, where $s_0 = \frac{N-2}{2}$. If $s_0 \leq \frac{N}{p} \leq s_0 + 2$ or (for $N \geq 3$)

$$\frac{2N}{N+2} \leq p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$$

then, by Theorem 6.1, there exists an operator L_{int} such that $L_{min} \subseteq L_{int} \subseteq L_{max}$ and L_{int} generates a positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is coherent with respect to all p. It is worth noticing that the interval of generation is closed, in contrast with the case $b + (\frac{N-2}{2})^2 > 0$. Observe

that this improves the results in [2], [4] and [11, Section 4] where, although generation results of analytic semigroup for p in the sharp range above have already been proved, the description of domain of the generator $D_{int}(L)$ seems to be new. More precisely, by Proposition 6.13, if $\frac{N}{p} = s_0+2$ then L_{int} coincides with L_{min} and, by Proposition 6.12, if $\frac{N}{p} = s_0$ then L_{int} coincides with L_{max}. If

$$s_0 \leq \frac{N}{p} \leq s_0 + 2,$$

then, by Proposition 6.2, the domain is given by

$$D_{int}(L) = \{u \in D_{max}(L)\ ;\ |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \in L^p(B_{1/2})\},$$

where $\theta_0 \in [0,1]$ satisfies $\frac{N}{p} = s_0 + 2\theta_0$. Integrability of first and second derivatives for $u \in L_{int}$ is given in Proposition 6.16.
If $N = 1$, then $b = -\frac{1}{4}$ and L is the Calogero operator in $[0,\infty[$. Then L_{min} and L_{max} are not selfadjoint but there exists a selfadjoint extension of L_{min}. In this case L_{int} coincides with the Friedrich's extension of L_{min} (this fact in a more general context is explained in Example 7.3).

Example 7.3 More generally, we can consider the formally selfadjoint operators

$$L = div(|x|^\alpha \nabla) - b|x|^{\alpha-2},$$

which corresponds to $c = \alpha$ in (1) and we focus our attention to $p = 2$. If $\alpha = 2$, $L_{min} = L_{max}$ are selfadjoint and their domain is already given in [12], see also Proposition 2.3.
We consider the case $\alpha \neq 2$ and $D_\alpha = b + (\frac{N-2+\alpha}{2})^2 \geq 0$. Since $c = \alpha$ the function f defined in (3) satisfies

$$f(s) = b + s(N-2+\alpha-s), \qquad f\left(\frac{N-2+\alpha}{2}\right) = D_\alpha.$$

If $D_\alpha > 0$, then condition (31), which is equivalent to (29), is satisfied with $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$. If $D_\alpha = 0$, then the assumption in Theorem 6.1 is satisfied with $\theta_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, L_{int} defined by (30) if $D_\alpha > 0$ and (48) if $D_\alpha = 0$ generates an analytic semigroup in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and its domain is characterized by (42) id $D_\alpha > 0$, which gives a precise regularity. Moreover, L_{int} is the limit of L_t in the resolvent sense (see Subsection 5.1 and Section 6) and each L_t is nonnegative and selfadjoint for every $t > 0$, since it coincides with $(L_t)_{min}$. This yields that L_{int} is also selfadjoint.
It is worth noticing that, since L_{min} is symmetric, L_{min} is selfadjoint if and only if L_{max} is selfadjoint. This means that the conditions on generation by L_{min} and L_{max} given in Theorems 4.4, 4.6 coincide. This fact can be easily found via the identity

$$f\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) = f\left(\frac{N}{2}+\alpha-2\right) = D_\alpha - \left(\frac{\alpha-2}{2}\right)^2 \tag{74}$$

Moreover, (74) implies that if $D_\alpha \geq (\frac{\alpha-2}{2})^2$, that is,

$$b \geq -\left(\frac{N-2+\alpha}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha-2}{2}\right)^2,$$

then $L_{\min}$ is nonnegative and selfadjoint and coincides with $L_{\max}$ (see also Proposition 5.5), hence with L_{int}. On the contrary, if $0 \leq D_\alpha < (\frac{\alpha-2}{2})^2$, that is,

$$-\left(\frac{N-2+\alpha}{2}\right)^2 \leq b < -\left(\frac{N-2+\alpha}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha-2}{2}\right)^2,$$

then L_{min} (and L_{max}) does not generate a semigroup in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ but its self-adjoint extension L_{int} does it. L_{int} is the unique among the infinitely many self-adjoint extensions of L_{min} which has the minimality property with respect to positive solutions, as explained in Propositions 5.10, 6.18.
Note that the constant $(\frac{\alpha-2}{2})^2$ with $\alpha = 0$ coincides with the difference of the optimal constants in the usual Hardy and Rellich inequalities $\frac{N(N-4)}{4} - (\frac{N-2}{2})^2 = 1$.
When $0 \le D_\alpha$ a self-adjoint extension of L_{min} can be constructed by closing the nonnegative form

$$\mathfrak{a}(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^\alpha \nabla u \cdot \nabla \overline{v}\, dx + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{\alpha-2} u \overline{v}\, dx, \quad D(\mathfrak{a}) = C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

This extension is called the Friedrich's extension L_F of L_{min} and is one of nonnegative selfadjoint extensions of L_{min} (not unique, unless L_{min} itself is self-adjoint). In general, $D(L_F)$, the domain of L_F, is given only formally. However, we can characterize $D(L_F)$ by showing that L_F and L_{int} coincide. Since both operators generate semigroups, it suffices to observe that $D(L_F) \subset D_{int}(L)$ and we divide the proof accordingly to $D_\alpha > 0$ and $D_\alpha = 0$.

(The case $D_\alpha > 0$). We see from (25) with $p = 2$ and $c = \alpha$ that for every $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\mathfrak{a}(u,u) \ge D_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{\alpha-2}|u|^2\, dx = D_\alpha \big\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} u \big\|_2^2.$$

This implies that $D(\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) \subset D(|x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}})$, where $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the closure of the form $\mathfrak{a}$. Hence, since $\theta = 1/2$, by the definition of L_{int}, see (48), we have

$$D(L_F) \subset D_{max}(L) \cap D(\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) \subset D_{int}(L).$$

(The case $D_\alpha = 0$). Set

$$U_0 := \begin{cases} B_{1/2} & \text{if } \alpha < 2, \\ B_2^c & \text{if } \alpha > 2, \end{cases} \qquad U_1 := \begin{cases} B_1 & \text{if } \alpha < 2, \\ B_1^c & \text{if } \alpha > 2 \end{cases}$$

and let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy $0 \le \eta \le 1$, $\eta \equiv 1$ in U_0 and $\eta \equiv 0$ in U_1^c. Then using (52) if $\alpha < 2$ and (69) if $\alpha > 2$, with $p = 2$ and $\theta_0 = 1/2$, we see that

$$\Big\| \chi_{U_0} |x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-1} u \Big\|_2^2 \le \int_{U_1} |x|^{\alpha-2} \big|\log|x|\big|^{-2} |\eta u|^2\, dx \le 4\mathfrak{a}(\eta u, \eta u).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}(\eta u, \eta u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta^2 \left(|x|^\alpha |\nabla u|^2 + b|x|^{\alpha-2}|u|^2 \right) dx \\ &\quad + 2\mathrm{Re} \int_{U_0^c} |x|^\alpha (\eta \nabla \eta) \cdot (\overline{u} \nabla u)\, dx + \int_{U_0^c} |x|^\alpha |\nabla \eta|^2 |u|^2\, dx \\ &\le \mathfrak{a}(u,u) + 2 \left\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla \eta \right\|_\infty \|u\|_2 \left\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla u \right\|_{L^2(U_1 \setminus U_0)} + \left\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla \eta \right\|_\infty^2 \|u\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \nabla u \right\|_{L^2(U_1 \setminus U_0)}^2 &\le \int_{U_1 \setminus U_0} \left(|x|^\alpha |\nabla u|^2 + b|x|^{\alpha-2}|u|^2 \right) dx + |b| \int_{U_1 \setminus U_0} |x|^{\alpha-2}|u|^2\, dx \\ &\le \mathfrak{a}(u,u) + 2^{|\alpha-2|} |b|\, \|u\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\left\|\chi_{U_0}|x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left|\log|x|\right|^{-1}u\right\|_2^2 \le C\left(\mathfrak{a}(u,u)+\|u\|_2^2\right),$$

where C is a constant independent of u. This implies that

$$D(\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) \subset D\left(\chi_{U_0}|x|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}}\left|\log|x|\right|^{-1}\right).$$

Therefore, from (48) we have

$$D(L_F) \subset D_{max}(L) \cap D(\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) \subset D_{int}(L).$$

Example 7.4 Let $b = c = 0$, that is $L = |x|^\alpha\Delta$ and assume first that $N \neq 2$ so that $b+\left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 > 0$. Since $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = N-2$, L_{int} endowed with the domain (42) generates a positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if

$$\min\{0, 2-\alpha\} < \frac{N}{p} < N-2+\max\{0, 2-\alpha\}.$$

If $\alpha < 2$, the condition reads $\frac{N}{p} < N-\alpha$ and, if $\alpha > 2$, $\frac{N}{p} < N-2$.
By Theorem 4.4 $L_{int} = L_{min}$ if $2-\alpha < N/p < N-\alpha$ and the domain is given by

$$D_{p,\alpha} = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega),\ |x|^\alpha D^2u,\ |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u,\ |x|^{\alpha-2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

By Theorem 4.6, $L_{int} = L_{max}$ if $N/p < N-2$. We observe also that, when $N = 1$ and $\alpha \ge 2$, the interval of admissible p is contained in the negative axis and the operator is not a generator, as proved in [15] for the operator $(1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta$.
Observe that this improves the results of [16, Section 8]. Indeed here we get a more precise description of the domain of L. Moreover we establish here non existence results for semigroups outside the above interval whereas in [16] only the non existence of a positive semigroups is proved.
Let us consider the critical case $N = 2$ where we have $s_0 = 0$. If $\alpha > 2$, the interval $[s_0+\min\{0, 2-\alpha\}, s_0+\max\{0, 2-\alpha\}] = [2-\alpha, 0]$ is contained in the negative real axis and therefore the operator L is not a generator in any $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We point out that the same result has been obtained in [15] for the operator $(1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta$. When $\alpha < 2$, the operator L_{int}, endowed with the domain

$$D_{int}(L) = \{u \in D_{max}(L)\ ;\ |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\left|\log|x|\right|^{-\frac{2}{p}}u \in L^p(B_{1/2})\},$$

where $\theta_0 \in (0, 1]$ satisfies $\frac{2}{p} = \theta_0(2-\alpha)$, generates an analytic semigroup for $p > \frac{2}{2-\alpha}$. In particular, if $\alpha < 0$, the operator L_{int} generates an analytic semigroup for every $1 < p < \infty$.

Example 7.5 Let $b = 0$, that is $L = |x|^\alpha\Delta + c|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\cdot\nabla$. If $N-2+c \neq 0$ then $\left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 > 0$ and $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = N-2+c$. By Theorem 5.4, if

$$\min\{0, 2-\alpha\} < \frac{N}{p} < N-2+c+\max\{0, 2-\alpha\},$$

L_{int} endowed with the domain (42) generates a positive analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In particular, if $\alpha < 2$, the condition reads $\frac{N}{p} < N-\alpha+c$ and, if $\alpha > 2$, $\frac{N}{p} < N-2+c$. By Theorem 4.4 $L_{int} = L_{min}$ when $2-\alpha < N/p < N-\alpha+c$ and the domain is given by

$$D_{p,\alpha} = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega),\ |x|^\alpha D^2u,\ |x|^{\alpha-1}\nabla u,\ |x|^{\alpha-2}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

By Theorem 4.6, $L_{int} = L_{max}$ if $N/p < N - 2 + c$.
Observe that this improves the results [18]. Indeed here the degeneracy near the origin is also allowed and the domain description is more precise.
The critical case $b + \left(\frac{N-2+c}{2}\right)^2 = 0$ occurs for $c = 2 - N$ and we have $s_0 = 0$. As in the previous example, if $\alpha > 2$, the interval $[s_0 + \min\{0, 2-\alpha\}, s_0 + \max\{0, 2-\alpha\}] = [2-\alpha, 0]$ is contained in the negative real axis, therefore the operator L is not a generator in any $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The same phenomenon has been already proved in [16] for the operator $(1+|x|^\alpha)\Delta + c|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\nabla$. When $\alpha < 2$, the operator L_{int}, endowed with the domain

$$D_{int}(L) = \{u \in D_{max}(L)\ ;\ |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \in L^p(B_{1/2})\},$$

where $\theta_0 \in (0,1]$ satisfies $\frac{2}{p} = \theta_0(2-\alpha)$, generates an analytic semigroup for $p > \frac{2}{2-\alpha}$. In particular, if $\alpha < 0$, the operator L_{int} generates an analytic semigroup for every $1 < p < \infty$.

Example 7.6 For certain choices of the parameters α, b and c, L_{int} can generate an analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ even though L is not dissipative for any $1 < q < \infty$ and L_{max} does not generate for any $1 < q < \infty$. Similarly, L_{int} can generate an analytic semigroup in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ even though L_{min} and L_{max} do not generate for any $1 < q < \infty$.

(a) Assume that $b = 0$ and $N - 2 + c < 0$. It follows that $s_1 = N - 2 + c < s_2 = 0$. Therefore the operator L_{max} does not generate an analytic semigroup for any $1 < q < \infty$. If, in addition, we assume that $N \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, the dissipativity condition

$$s_1 \leq \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{p} \leq s_2$$

is never satisfied but the generation condition for L_{int} is valid for some p since $s_2 + 2 - \alpha > 0$.

(b) We keep the conditions $b = 0$ and $N - 2 + c < 0$ so that $s_1 < s_2 = 0$ and the operator L_{max} does not generate for any $1 < q < \infty$ but we assume $\alpha < 2$ and $N \leq s_1 + 2 - \alpha$, that is $\alpha \leq c$. It follows that L_{min} never generates an analytic semigroup. Finally observe that, since $s_1 \leq 0$ and $s_2 + 2 - \alpha > N$, the operator L_{int} generates an analytic semigroup for every $1 < p < \infty$.

(c) If L_{int} generates for some p one can always find a $1 < q < \infty$ such that L is dissipative in L^q or L_{min} generates or L_{max} generates in L^q.

In fact, assume that $\alpha < 2$ and that the generation condition for L_{int} is true for some $1 < p < \infty$ that is

$$s_1 < \frac{N}{p} < s_2 + 2 - \alpha.$$

In order to violate the generation condition for L_{max} for every $1 < q < \infty$ we should have $s_1 < s_2 \leq 0$. Indeed, if $s_1 < 0 < s_2$, then we can find some q such that $s_1 < \frac{N}{q} < s_2$. If s_1 and s_2 are positive, the generation condition for L_{max} is violated only if $s_1 \geq N$ but this is not possible since $s_1 < \frac{N}{p}$.
Therefore we have: $s_1 < s_2 \leq 0$ and $s_2 + 2 - \alpha > 0$. If L_{min} does not generate in any L^q, then $s_1 + 2 - \alpha \geq N$. If we choose q such that

$$\frac{N + \alpha - 2}{s_1} < q < \frac{N + \alpha - 2}{s_2}$$

then $1 < q < \infty$, $s_1 < (N + \alpha - 2)/q < s_2$ and L is dissipative in L^q.

Example 7.7 Let $L_1 = |x|^\alpha \Delta + c|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\nabla - b|x|^{\alpha-2}$ in the ball B_R, with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We define the domain of L_1 and deduce generation results for this operator in the ball by those in the whole space.

Definition 7.8

$$D_{max}(L_1) = \{u \in L^p(B_R) \cap W^{2,p}(B_R \setminus B_\varepsilon) \ \forall \varepsilon > 0 : u(x) = 0 \text{ if } |x| = R,\ L_1 u \in L^p(B_R)\}.$$

Observe that the Dirichlet boundary condition $u(x) = 0$ for $|x| = R$ makes sense, since u has second derivatives in L^p in a neighborhood of the boundary of B_R. By elliptic regularity L_1 is closed on its maximal domain. If $\alpha \geq 2$ the function $a(x) = |x|^\alpha$ satisfies the inequality $|\nabla a^{1/2}| \leq C$ in the ball B_R even though not globally in $\mathbb{R}^N$ when $\alpha > 2$. In analogy with [7] we define the domain of L_1 as follows.

Definition 7.9 *If $\alpha \geq 2$ we set*

$$D_p(L_1) = \{u \in L^p(B_R) \cap W^{2,p}(B_R \setminus B_\varepsilon) \ \forall \varepsilon > 0 : u(x) = 0 \text{ if } |x| = R,$$
$$|x|^{\alpha/2}\nabla u, |x|^\alpha D^2 u \in L^p(B_R)\}.$$

By the results in [7] and in [16], we immediately get generation for every $1 < p < \infty$ when $\alpha \geq 2$.

Proposition 7.10 *If $\alpha \geq 2$, then $D_{max}(L_1) = D_p(L_1)$, the operator L_1 is closed on its domain and generates an analytic semigroup in $L^p(B_R)$ for every $1 < p < \infty$.*

Concerning the case $\alpha < 2$, the result proved in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is still true. It can be deduced by Theorem 5.4 by using the methods of [16, Proposition 5.7].

Proposition 7.11 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha < 2$. If $b + (N-2+c)^2/4 > 0$, then a suitable realization of $L_{1,min} \subset L_{1,int} \subset L_{1,max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(B_R)$ if and only if $s_1 < N/p < s_2 + 2 - \alpha$. The semigroup is analytic and positive. Moreover, setting $\alpha' = \theta(\alpha - 2) + 2$, $D_{int}(L_1)$ is given by*

$$\left\{u \in D_{p,max}(L_1)\,; |x|^{\alpha'} D^2 u, |x|^{\alpha'-1}\nabla u, |x|^{\alpha'-2} u \in L^p(B_R) \ \text{ for every } \theta \in I\right\}$$

where I is the interval of all $\theta \in [0,1]$ such that $f\left(\frac{N}{p} + \theta(\alpha - 2)\right) > 0$. If $b + (N-2+c)^2/4 = 0$, set $s_0 = \frac{N-2+c}{2}$, a suitable realization of $L_{1,min} \subset L_{1,int} \subset L_{1,max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(B_R)$ if and only if $s_0 \leq N/p \leq s_0 + 2 - \alpha$. The semigroup is analytic and positive. Moreover,

$$D_{int}(L_1) = \{u \in D_{max}(L_1)\ ;\ |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \in L^p(B_{1/2})\},$$

where $\theta_0 \in [0,1]$ satisfies $\frac{N}{p} = s_0 + \theta_0(2 - \alpha)$.

Let us show the compactness of the resolvent for $\alpha < 2$.

Proposition 7.12 *Let $\alpha < 2$ and the assumptions of Proposition 7.11 be satisfied. Then the resolvent of $L_{1,int}$ is compact.*

Let us prove that $D_{int}(L_1)$ is compactly embedded into $L^p(B_R)$. Consider the case $b + (N-2+c)^2/4 > 0$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a bounded subset of $D(L_{1,int})$. By the domain characterization, we obtain $\int_{B_R} |x|^{p(\alpha'-2)}|u|^p \leq M$ for some positive M and for every $u \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $\alpha' < 2$, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < r < R$ such that $\int_{|x|<r} |u|^p < \varepsilon^p$ for every $u \in \mathcal{U}$. Let $\mathcal{U}'$ be the set of the restrictions of

the functions in $\mathcal{U}$ to $B_R \setminus B_r$. Since the embedding of $W^{2,p}(B_R \setminus B_r)$ into $L^p(B_R \setminus B_r)$ is compact, the set $\mathcal{U}'$ which is bounded in $W^{2,p}(B_R \setminus B_r)$ is totally bounded in $L^p(B_R \setminus B_r)$. Therefore there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^p(B_R \setminus B_r)$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{f \in L^p(B_R \setminus B_r) : \ \|f - f_i\|_{L^p(B_R \setminus B_r)} < \varepsilon\}.$$

Set $\overline{f}_i = f_i$ in $B_R \setminus B_r$ and $\overline{f}_i = 0$ in B_r. Then $\overline{f}_i \in L^p(B_R)$ and

$$\mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{f \in L^p(B_R) : \ \|f - \overline{f}_i\|_{L^p(B_R)} < 2\varepsilon\}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{U}$ is relatively compact in $L^p(B_R)$.
If $b + (N-2+c)^2/4 = 0$ and $\frac{N}{p} \neq s_0$ (that is $\theta_0 \neq 0$), the proof follows in similar way since the weight $|x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}}$ tends to ∞ as $x \to 0$. If $\frac{N}{p} = s_0$, we consider the adjoint operator $\tilde{L}_{1,int}$ in $L^{p'}(B_R)$ whose domain is given as above with $\tilde{\theta}_0 = 1 - \theta_0 = 1$, see the proof of Lemma 5.3. Then the resolvent of $\tilde{L}_{1,int}$ is compact in $L^{p'}(B_R)$, hence the semigroup, since it is analytic. By duality the semigroup generated by $L_{1,int}$ is compact in $L^p(B_R)$, hence the resolvent. □

Example 7.13 Let $L_2 = |x|^\alpha \Delta + c|x|^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|}\nabla - b|x|^{\alpha-2}$ in the exterior domain B_R^c, with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We proceed as in the previous Example.

Definition 7.14

$$D_{max}(L_2) = \{u \in L^p(B_R^c) \cap W^{2,p}(B_R^c \cap B_r) \ \ \forall r > 0 : u(x) = 0 \text{ if } |x| = R, \ L_2 u \in L^p(B_R^c)\}.$$

As before, the Dirichlet boundary condition $u(x) = 0$ for $|x| = R$ makes sense, since u has second derivatives in L^p in a neighborhood of the boundary of B_R^c. By local elliptic regularity, L_2 is closed on its maximal domain. Observe that, when $\alpha \leq 2$, the function $a(x) = |x|^\alpha$ satisfies the inequality $|\nabla a^{1/2}| \leq C$ in the exterior domain B_R^c. By following [7], we can also define the domain $D_p(L_2)$ as follows.

Definition 7.15 *If $\alpha \leq 2$ we set*

$$D_p(L_2) = \{u \in L^p(B_R^c) \cap W^{2,p}(B_R^c \cap B_r) \ \ \forall r > 0 : u(x) = 0 \text{ if } |x| = R, \\ |x|^{\alpha/2}\nabla u, \ |x|^\alpha D^2 u \in L^p(B_R^c)\}.$$

As before the first generation results immediately follows from [7] and the results in [16].

Proposition 7.16 *If $\alpha \leq 2$, then $D_{max}(L_2) = D_p(L_2)$, the operator L_2 is closed on its domain and, for every $1 < p < \infty$ generates an analytic semigroup in $L^p(B_R^c)$.*

In the case $\alpha > 2$, the following result can be deduced from Theorem 5.4 through [16, Proposition 5.6].

Proposition 7.17 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha > 2$. If $b + (N-2+c)^2/4 > 0$, a suitable realization of $L_{2,min} \subset L_{2,int} \subset L_{2,max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(B_R^c)$ if and only if $s_1 + 2 - \alpha < N/p < s_2$. The semigroup is analytic and positive. Moreover, setting $\alpha' = \theta(\alpha - 2) + 2$, $D_{int}(L_2)$ is given by*

$$\Big\{u \in D(L_{max}) \, ; |x|^{\alpha'} D^2 u, |x|^{\alpha'-1}\nabla u, |x|^{\alpha'-2} u \in L^p(B_R^c) \ \text{ for every } \theta \in I\Big\}$$

where I is the interval of all $\theta \in [0,1]$ such that $f\left(\frac{N}{p} + \theta(\alpha-2)\right) > 0$. If $b+(N-2+c)^2/4 = 0$, set $s_0 = \frac{N-2+c}{2}$, a suitable realization of $L_{2,min} \subset L_{2,int} \subset L_{2,max}$ generates a semigroup in $L^p(B_R)$ if and only if $s_0 + 2 - \alpha \le N/p \le s_0$. The semigroup is analytic and positive. Moreover,

$$D_{int}(L_2) = \{u \in D_{max}(L_2)\ ;\ |x|^{\theta_0(\alpha-2)}\big|\log|x|\big|^{-\frac{2}{p}} u \in L^p(B_R^c)\},$$

where $\theta_0 \in [0,1]$ satisfies $\frac{N}{p} = s_0 + \theta_0(2-\alpha)$.

Proposition 7.18 *Let $\alpha > 2$ and the conditions of Theorem 7.17 be satisfied. Then the resolvent of L_2 is compact in $L^p(B_R^c)$.*

Appendix

Theorem A.1 ([24, Theorem 1.1]) *Let A and B be densely defined operators in Banach space X. Assume that*

i) $-A$ generates a bounded analytic semigroup on X;

ii) $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and there exists $\beta_0 > 0$ such that for every $u \in D(A)$,

$$\mathrm{Re}\,(Au, F(Bu))_{X,X'} \ge \beta_0 \|Bu\|^2, \tag{75}$$

$$(u, F(Bu))_{X,X'} \ge 0, \tag{76}$$

where F is single-valued duality map from X to the dual space X'. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\mathrm{Re}\, k > -\beta_0$, $-(A+kB)$ with domain $D(A)$ also generates a bounded analytic semigroup on X. Moreover, if A has a compact resolvent, then $A+kB$ also has a compact resolvent.
Assume further that X is a Banach lattice, A has positive resolvent and B is also positive. Then $A+kB$ is also positive resolvent for every $k \in (-\beta_0, 0)$.

References

[1] W. Arendt, J.A. Goldstein, G.R. Goldstein: Outgrowths of Hardy's inequality, Recent advances in differential equations and mathematical physics, 51–68, Contemp. Math. **412 ,** Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2006.

[2] P. Baras, J.A. Goldstein: The heat equation with a singular potential, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **284** (1984), 121–139.

[3] R. Beals, R. Wong: *Special Functions,* Cambridge Studies in Advances Mathematics, 126 (2010).

[4] H. Brezis, J.L. Vazquez: Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Compl. Madrid* **10** (1997), 443–469.

[5] X. Cabre, Y. Martel: Existence versus explosion istantanee pour des equationes de la chaleur lineaires avec potentiel singulaires *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **329** (1999), 973–978.

[6] D. G. Costa: Some new and short proofs for a class of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type inequalities *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **337** (2008) 311–317.

[7] S. Fornaro, L. Lorenzi: Generation results for elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients in L^p and C_b-spaces, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* **A18** (2007), 747–772.

[8] D. M. Gitman, I. V. Tyutin and B. L. Voronov: *Self-adjoint extensions and spectral analysis in the Calogero problem,* J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010), 145–205.

[9] J.A. Goldstein: *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications* , Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York (1985).

[10] T. Kato: *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators,* Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaten **132**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York (1976).

[11] V. Liskevich, Z. Sobol, H. Vogt: On the L^p-theory for C_0-semigroups associated with second-order elliptic operators II, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **193** (2002), 55–76.

[12] G. Metafune, M. Sobajima, C. Spina: Weighted Calderón-Zygmund and Rellich inequalities in L^p, *preprint (2013).*

[13] G. Metafune, M. Sobajima, C. Spina: Spectral properties of operators obtained by localization methods, *preprint (2014).*

[14] G. Metafune, C. Spina: An integration by parts formula in Sobolev spaces, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* **5** (2008), 359–371.

[15] G. Metafune, C. Spina: Elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients in L^p spaces, *Annali Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa Cl. Sc. (5),* **11** (2012), 303–340 .

[16] G. Metafune, C. Spina: A degenerate elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei,* to appear.

[17] G. Metafune, C. Spina: Kernel estimates for some elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* **A32 (6)** (2012), 2285–2299.

[18] G. Metafune, C. Spina, C. Tacelli: Elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion and drift coefficients in L^p spaces, *Advances Diff. Equat.,* (to appear).

[19] G. Metafune, C. Spina, C. Tacelli: On a class of elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients, preprint (2014).

[20] N. Okazawa: L^p-theory of Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials, *Japan. J. Math.* **22** (1996), 199–239.

[21] N. Okazawa, M. Sobajima, L^p-theory for Schrödinger operators perturbed by singular drift terms, *Proceedings of the conference "Differential Equations, Inverse Problems and Control Theory (2013)",* (to appear).

[22] N. Okazawa, M. Sobajima, T. Yokota: Existence of solutions to heat equations with singular lower order terms, *J. Differential Equations,* **256** (2014), 3568-3593.

[23] M. Sobajima: L^p-theory for second-order elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients, *J. Evol. Equ.* **12** (2012), 957-971.

[24] M. Sobajima: A class of relatively bounded perturbations for generators of bounded analytic semigroups in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **(416)** (2014), 855-861. ,

[25] M. Sobajima, C. Spina: Generation results for some elliptic second order operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients, preprint (2014).

[26] M. Sobajima, S. Watanabe: Landau-Lifschitz conjecture about the motion of a quantum mechanical particle under the inverse square potential, preprint (2013).

[27] C. Spina: Kernel estimates for some elliptic elliptic operators with unbounded diffusion coefficients in the one-dimensional and bi-dimensional cases, *Semigroup Forum* **86 (1)** (2013), 67–82.

[28] J.L. Vazquez, E. Zuazua: The Hardy Inequality and the Asymptotic Behaviour of the Heat Equation with an Inverse Square Potential, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **173** (2000), 103–153.